

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**



Reg. No: 201237211  
Issue No: 1018, 3003  
Case No: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date: April 3, 2012  
Genesee County DHS

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Gary F. Heisler

**HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on April 3, 2012. Claimant appeared and testified.

**ISSUE**

Did the Department properly deny Claimant's January 23, 2012 Family Independence Program (FIP) application?

Did the Department of Human Services determine the proper amount of Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits on February 2, 2012?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant was an ongoing recipient of Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits.
2. On January 23, 2012, Claimant submitted an application for Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits.
3. On February 2, 2012, the Department denied Claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP) application due to excess income and reduced her Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits due to an increase in income. Claimant was sent a Notice of Case Action (DHS-1605).
4. On February 8, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request.

**CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1997 AACRS R 400.3101-3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1997 AACRS R 400.3001-3015.

In this case Claimant had previously been employed at McDonalds. Claimant had not verified her loss of employment at McDonalds. When Claimant submitted the application for Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits, she was receiving Unemployment Compensation Benefits (UCB). Because the Department had not received verification of the end of employment at McDonalds, the Department properly included earned income from McDonalds in Claimant's financial eligibility budgets. A detailed analysis of the evidence presented, applicable Department policies, and reasoning for the decision are contained in the recorded record. During the hearing Claimant was informed of the decision and the reasoning behind the decision.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department properly denied Claimant's January 23, 2012 Family Independence Program (FIP) application due to excess income and determine the proper amount of Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits on February 2, 2012.

It is ORDERED that the actions of the Department of Human Services, in this matter, are **UPHELD**.

/s/ \_\_\_\_\_  
Gary F. Heisler  
Administrative Law Judge  
For Maura Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 6, 2012

Date Mailed: April 6, 2012

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or

201237211/GFH

reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

GFH/tb

cc:

