

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 2012-328  
Issue No.: 1000, 2000, 3000  
Case No.: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date: November 17, 2011  
County: Kent

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Jan Leventer

**HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on November 17, 2011, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included [REDACTED] Family Independence Manager, and [REDACTED] Family Independence Specialist.

**ISSUES**

Did the Department properly:

- grant Claimant's application for Medical Assistance?
- deny Claimant's wife's application for MA-Adult Medical Program (AMP) benefits?
- deny payment of a Family Independence Program (FIP) cash supplement to Claimant?
- pay Claimant a cash supplement of Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant  applied for benefits for:  received benefits for:

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Family Independence Program (FIP).<br>(Claimant's spouse). | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adult Medical Assistance (AMP) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Food Assistance Program (FAP).                             | <input type="checkbox"/> State Disability Assistance (SDA).        |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medical Assistance (MA) (Claimant).             | <input type="checkbox"/> Child Development and Care (CDC).         |

On August 16, 2011, the Department  denied Claimant's spouses AMP application  closed Claimant's case  reduced Claimant's benefits due to the fact that the program was then closed to new applicants. Claimant MA was approved.

2. On August 16, 2011, the Department sent  Claimant  Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the  denial.  closure.  reduction.

3. On September 2, 2011, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting the  denial of the application.  closure of the case.  reduction of benefits.

5. Claimant's Hearing Request also disputed the failure of the Department to pay him a FIP lump sum payment, and the Department failed to correct the wrongful conversion of a FAP lump sum payment by Claimant's son.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 2000 AACRS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.

The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, with regard to Claimant's MA benefits, at the Hearing the Claimant agreed that he received these benefits and did not dispute his receipt of MA benefits. This issue shall be dismissed.

Second, with regard to Claimant's spouse's denial of AMP benefits, the Claimant admitted at the Hearing that he understood that she was not eligible and he did not pursue this issue further. This issue shall be dismissed.

Third, with regard to Claimant's assertion that he did not receive a lump sum FIP payment, it is undisputed that Claimant's son, who is the father of Claimant's grandchildren, resided in Claimant's home. The presence of Claimant's son in the home causes Claimant to be ineligible to receive FIP benefits for the children, and the biological parent is the eligible recipient. Therefore Claimant's assertion that he is eligible for a lump sum FIP payment is unfounded and is dismissed.

Fourth, with regard to a FAP lump sum payment wrongfully converted by the son for his own use, this issue is not within the jurisdiction of the Administrative Law Judge and must be pursued through Department administrative channels. Therefore it must be dismissed.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes and determines that there are no issues properly before the ALJ and this case shall be dismissed in its entirety.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department

did act properly       did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Claimant's  AMP  FIP  FAP  MA  SDA  CDC claims are  DISMISSED for the reasons stated on the record.



**Jan Leventer**  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Maura Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: December 6, 2011

Date Mailed: December 6, 2011

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at  
Michigan Administrative Hearings  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P. O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JL/cl

cc:

