

**STATE OF MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

IN THE MATTER OF:

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 2012-27605
Issue Nos.: 1080, 6000
Case No.: [REDACTED]
Hearing Date: July 12, 2012
County: Wayne (82-41)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on July 12, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included [REDACTED].

ISSUE

Did the Department properly close Claimant's case for Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant was an ongoing recipient of FIP benefits.
2. On December 29, 2011, 2011, the Department notified Claimant that Claimant's FIP case would close effective February 1, 2012, because Claimant exceeded the lifetime limit on the receipt of FIP assistance.
3. On January 4, 2012, Claimant filed a Request for Hearing, disputing the Department's action on the basis that the Department
 - miscalculated the number of months Claimant had received FIP benefits.
 - improperly determined Claimant's group composition.

[Insert.]/[Insert.]

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 through R 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

FIP is not an entitlement. BEM 234. Time limits are essential to establishing the temporary nature of aid as well as communicating the FIP philosophy to support a family's movement to self-sufficiency. BEM 234. BEM 234 restricts the total cumulative months that an individual may receive FIP benefits to a lifetime limit of 48 months for state-funded FIP cases and 60 months for federally-funded FIP cases.

Additionally, after reviewing the Department's record of benefits at the hearing, Claimant testified she understood that the Department's action was based on her receipt of forty-nine months FIP benefits, and that the Department's action was correct.

With regard to CDC benefits, Claimant testified at the hearing that she filed three applications in 2011. Claimant's file was reviewed for evidence that she filed three CDC applications in 2011. The file contained evidence of only a March 8, 2011, CDC application. It is found and determined that Claimant has failed to present sufficient evidence to prove that she submitted two additional CDC applications. Claimant's sole application in the file is dated March 8, 2011. This date is more than ninety days before Claimant's hearing request of January 4, 2012, and is, therefore, an untimely hearing request. Accordingly, Claimant's hearing request regarding CDC benefits shall be dismissed as a part of this Order. BAM 600, "Hearings."

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly did not act properly when it closed Claimant's FIP case.


Accordingly, the Department's decision is

AFFIRMED
 REVERSED

for the reasons stated above and on the record.

[Insert.]/[Insert.]

It is also ordered that Claimant's request for a hearing regarding CDC benefits is untimely and is hereby DISMISSED.



Jan Leventer
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: July 17, 2012

Date Mailed: July 17, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at
Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JL/pf

cc:


r