

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH**

P.O. Box 30763, Lansing, MI 48909  
(877) 833-0870; Fax: (517) 334-9505

IN THE MATTER OF:

██████████

Appellant

Docket No. 2012-24783 HHS

██████████

██████████

**DECISION AND ORDER**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 42 CFR 431.200 *et seq.*, following the Appellant's request for a hearing.

After due notice, a hearing was held ██████████. The Appellant, ██████████ represented herself. Her brother and HHS provider ██████████ was present.

The Department was represented by Appeals Review Officer, ██████████ ██████████ Services Worker (worker), appeared as a witness on behalf of the Department.

**ISSUE**

Did the Department properly terminate the Appellant's Home Help Services (HHS) due to not having full-coverage Medicaid?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. The Appellant was formerly a full-coverage Medicaid beneficiary who was receiving Home Help Services (HHS).
2. The Appellant has COPD and is wheelchair dependant.
3. The Appellant resides with her brother, who provides her care.
4. The Appellant's Medicaid closed ██████████ because she had not met her spend-down.

**Docket No. 2012-24783 HHS**  
**Decision and Order**

5. The Appellant's Medicaid deductible was [REDACTED] (Exhibit A)
6. The Appellant's HHS needs have been assessed at [REDACTED] h in HHS payments. (Exhibit A, page 13)
7. The Appellant's Medicaid co-pay (spend-down) exceeds the amount of HHS she is potentially eligible for.
8. The appellant's Medicaid spend-down has increased [REDACTED]
9. The Appellant was notified that her HHS benefits would be terminated effective [REDACTED], due to her lack of full-coverage Medicaid and her payment not meeting or exceeding her deductible amount.
10. The Appellant requested an administrative hearing to contest the termination of his HHS benefits on [REDACTED]

**CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Medical Assistance Program is established pursuant to Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). It is administered in accordance with state statute, the Administrative Code, and the State Plan under Title XIX of the Social Security Act Medical Assistance Program.

The purpose of HHS is to enable functionally limited individuals to live independently and receive care in the least restrictive, preferred settings. These activities must be certified by a physician and may be provided by individuals or by private or public agencies.

**ELIGIBILITY FOR HOME HELP SERVICES**

Home help services (HHS) are defined as those which the department is paying for through Title XIX (Medicaid) funds. The client must be eligible for Medicaid in order to receive these services.

**Medicaid/Medical Aid (MA)**

Verify the client's Medicaid/Medical aid status.

The client may be eligible for MA under one of the following:

- All requirements for MA have been met, **or**
- MA deductible obligation has been met.

The client must have a scope of coverage of:

- 1F or 2F, **or**
- 1D or 1K (Freedom to work), **or**
- 1T (Healthy Kids Expansion).

Clients with eligibility status of 07 (Income scale 2-Non MA) and scope of coverage 20 or 2B are **not** eligible for Medicaid until they have met their MA deductible obligation.

An ILS case may be opened (service program 9) to assist the client in becoming MA eligible. However, do **not** authorize HHS payment prior to the MA eligibility date. The payment must be prorated if the eligibility period is less than the full month. To prorate, divide the monthly care cost by the number of days in the month. Then, multiple (sic) that daily rate by the number of eligible days.

**Note:** A change in the scope of coverage by the eligibility specialist (ES) will generate a DHS-5S for cases active to services programs 1, 7, and 9.

*Adult Services Manual (ASM) 363, 9-1-2008 page 7 of 24.*

The material facts of this case are not in dispute. The Appellant has a monthly Medicaid deductible (spend-down). The amount of his monthly spend-down exceeds the potential HHS payments she would receive from the Department each month therefore, she does not qualify for the program at this time. Policy requires a HHS participant to have full-coverage Medicaid or have an HHS payment that exceeds her Medicaid deductible in order to be eligible for the HHS program. While this ALJ is sympathetic to the Appellant's position, this ALJ has no authority to disregard the published Medicaid policy or order an exception. The costs of HHS can be applied towards her Medicaid deductible, as it is an eligible medical expense according to Medicaid policy.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the Department properly terminated the Appellant's HHS benefits.

[REDACTED]  
Docket No. 2012-24783 HHS  
Decision and Order

**IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that:

The Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

---

Jennifer Isiogu  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Olga Dazzo, Director  
Michigan Department of Community Health

cc:

[REDACTED]

Date Mailed: 4-20-12

**\*\*\* NOTICE \*\*\***

The Michigan Administrative Hearing System may order a rehearing on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. The Michigan Administrative Hearing System will not order a rehearing on the Department's motion where the final decision or rehearing cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. The Appellant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt of the rehearing decision.