


**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**



Reg. No.: 2012-18460  
Issue No(s): 3006  
Case No.: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date: January 28, 2014  
County: Wayne 18

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Dale Malewska

**HEARING DECISION**

Upon a hearing request by the Department of Human Services (Department) to establish an over-issuance (OI) of benefits to Respondent, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9, 400.43a, and 24.201, *et seq.*, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.941, and in accordance with 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18, 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250, 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33, and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on January 28, 2014, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of the Department included Recoupment Specialist, [REDACTED].

Respondent did not appear. This matter having been initiated by the Department and due notice having been provided to Respondent, the hearing was held in Respondent's absence in accordance with Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 725 (7-1-2013), pp. 16-18.

**ISSUE**

Did Respondent receive an OI of  Child Development and Care (CDC) benefits?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Respondent was a recipient of  CDC benefits from the Department.
2. The Department alleges Respondent received a  CDC OI during the period October 11, 2009 through November 7, 2009, due to  Department's error.
3. The Department alleges that Respondent received a \$ [REDACTED] OI amended to \$ [REDACTED] OI that is still due and owing to the Department.

**CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 601-619, 670-679c, and 1397-1397m-5; the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, PL 101-508, 42 USC 9858 to 9858g; and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193. The program is implemented by 45 CFR 98.1-99.33. The Department administers the program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, R 400.5001-.5020.

\*\*\*

Additionally, the Department's witness testified that the original recoupment specialist on the Respondent's CDC case was "...no longer with the Department." She said that she reviewed her former colleague's calculations in line with the requirements of BAM 220 and found a different [shorter] required OI period. [Exhibit #1, p. 3] She said that the Respondent was shown to have worked for [REDACTED] during the OI period. See Exhibit #1, at pages 5-11.

Utilizing the standard of promptness required under BAM 220 she re-established the revised OI period as October 11, 2009 through November 7, 2009 – stating that thereafter the Respondent began her Work First Program on November 15, 2009.

She said that the new OI was now established at \$ [REDACTED]

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, finds that the Department  did establish a  CDC benefit OI to Respondent totaling \$ [REDACTED]

**DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department is  AFFIRMED.

The Department is ORDERED to initiate collection procedures for a \$ [REDACTED] OI in accordance with Department policy.



\_\_\_\_\_  
Dale Malewska  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Maura Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 2/7/14  
Date Mailed: 2/7/14

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P.O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

DM/tb

cc:

