

**STATE OF MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

IN THE MATTER OF:

[REDACTED]

Reg No.: 2012-16593
Issue No.: 3000
Case No.: [REDACTED]
Hearing Date: January 11, 2012
Wayne County DHS (55)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Colleen M. Mamelka

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was conducted from Detroit, Michigan on Wednesday, January 11, 2012. The Claimant appeared and testified. [REDACTED] appeared on behalf of the Department of Human Services ("Department").

ISSUE

Whether the Department properly reduced the Claimant's Food Assistance Program ("FAP") benefits based on non-cooperation with the Office of Child Support?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. The Claimant is a FAP recipient.
2. The Office of Child Support ("OCS") erroneously sanctioned the Claimant's FAP case based on the failure to cooperate.
3. The Claimant was/is in cooperation.
4. The Department has attempted to remove the sanction without success.
5. On December 2, 2011, the Department received the Claimant's timely written request for hearing.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Food Assistance Program, formerly known as the Food Stamp program, is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations. The Department, formerly known as the Family Independence Agency, administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 through R 400.3015. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (“BAM”), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (“BEM”), and the Bridges Reference Tables (“RFT”).

Parents have a responsibility to meet their children’s needs by providing support and/or cooperating with the department including the Office of Child Support (“OCS”), the Friend of the Court, and the prosecuting attorney to establish paternity and/or obtain support from an absent parent. BEM 255. Cooperation is a condition of eligibility. BEM 255. The head of household and the parent of children must comply with all requests for action or information needed to establish paternity and/or obtain child support on behalf of children for whom they receive assistance, unless a claim of good cause for not cooperating has been granted or is pending. BEM 255.

The law provides that disposition may be made of a contested case by stipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24. 278(2).

In this case, the Department agreed that the Claimant was in cooperation with the OCS; however has been unsuccessful in removing the sanction. All parties agreed that the sanction, which reduced the Claimant’s FAP benefits effective November 2011, should be removed. In light of the accord, there is no further issue that needs to be addressed.


DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds that the Department’s action regarding the imposition of the sanction is not upheld.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED:

1. The Department’s imposition of the OCS sanction is not upheld.
2. The Department shall, as agreed, remove the OCS sanction.

3. The Department shall supplement the Claimant for lost FAP benefits effective November 2011 as a result of the improperly imposed OCS sanction.



Colleen M. Mamelka
Administrative Law Judge
For Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: January 11, 2012

Date Mailed: January 11, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at
Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CMM/cl

2012-16593/CMM

cc:

