


**STATE OF MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No: 201212970
Issue No: 2009
Case No: [REDACTED]
Hearing Date: January 31, 2012
Wayne County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: William A. Sundquist

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Tuesday, January 31, 2012. Claimant personally testified on his behalf.

ISSUE

Was disability, as defined below, medically established?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On September 28, 2011, claimant applied for MA-P, was denied on October 31, 2011 per BEM 260, and requested a hearing on November 8, 2011
2. Claimant's vocational factors: age 52, and had a high school plus education with work experience in skilled management and supervisory jobs performing administrative activities.
3. On March 17, 2009, claimant resigned from her last job and became an unemployment compensation benefit recipient in July 2009 with exhaustion in June/July 2011.
4. On September 28, 2011, claimant alleges disability due to acute asthmatic exacerbation with probable percipient bronchitis and sinusitis.

5. Medical exam on September 21, 2011, states the claimant is alert and awake; that she is in moderate respiratory distress; that lungs review type expiratory wheezing in lungs fills with prolongation expiratory phase; that motor strength and upper and lower extremities is equal; that she has a normal gait; and that there is no calf tenderness or edema of the lower extremities (Medical Packet, Page 14).
6. Medical exam on September 23, 2011, states the claimant is awake, alert, and in slight distress because of her asthma; that her chest reviews bilateral wheezing and no crackles (Medical Packet, Page 19).
7. Medical exam on September 24, 2011, states the claimant did not seem to be in significant distress (Medical Packet, Page 21).
8. Medical exam on September 25, 2011, states the claimant does not seem to be in significant distress; and that her chest has slight bilateral wheezing with scattered rhonchi (Medical Packet, Page 23).
9. Medical exam on September 25, 2011, states the claimant is no acute distress (Medical Packet, Page 25).
10. Medical exam on September 26, 2011, states the claimant is no distress; and that lungs are clear auscultation bilaterally with mild rhonchi in the basis blood pressure; that she was discharge and stable and in fair condition (Medical Packet, Page 6).

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (BAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Facts above are undisputed.

"Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

...We follow a set order to determine whether you are disabled. We review any current work activity, the severity of your impairment(s), your residual functional capacity, your past work, and your age, education and work experience. If we can find that you are disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, we do not review your claim further.... 20 CFR 416.920.

The burden of proof is on the claimant to establish disability in accordance with the 5 step process below. ...20 CFR 460.912(a).

When determining disability, the federal regulations require that several considerations be analyzed in sequential order. If disability can be ruled out at any step, analysis of the next step is not required. These steps are:

1. Does the client perform Substantial Gainful Activity (SGA)? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 2. 20 CFR 416.920(b).
2. Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in death? If no, the client is ineligible for MA. If yes, the analysis continues to Step 3. 20 CFR 416.920(c).
3. Does the impairment appear on a special listing of impairments or are the client's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least equivalent in severity to the set of medical findings specified for the listed impairment? If no, the analysis continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.290(d).
4. Can the client do the former work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 5. 20 CFR 416.920(e).
5. Does the client have the Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Sections 200.00-204.00? If yes, the analysis ends and the client is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(f).

At Step 1, disability is not denied. Claimant has not been engaged in substantial gainful work since March 17, 2009.

At Step2, disability is denied. The medical evidence of record, on date of application, does not establish the claimant's significant inability to perform basic physical work activities for the required one year **continuous duration**, as defined below.

Severe/Non-Severe Impairment

...If you do not have any impairment or combination of impairments which significantly limits your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities, we will find that you do not have a severe impairment and are, therefore, not disabled. We will not consider your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(c).

Non-severe impairment(s). An impairment or combination of impairments is not severe if it does not significantly limit your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities. 20 CFR 416.921(a).

Basic work activities. When we talk about basic work activities, we mean the abilities and aptitudes necessary to do most jobs. Examples of these include:

- (1) Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling;
- (2) Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- (3) Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- (4) Use of judgment;
- (5) Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- (6) Dealing with changes in a routine work setting.
20 CFR 416.921(b).

The question in all cases for the Administrative Law Judge is whether the claimants medically diagnosed disorders and disabling complaints, on date of application, significantly limit her ability to perform basic work activities, as defined above. Said in another way, do the diagnosed disorders impair the claimant slightly, mildly, moderately (non-severe impairment as defined above) or severely, as defined above?

Most of the medical reports of record were examinations, diagnostic or treatments reports, and do not provide medical assessment evaluations of claimant's basic work limitations/restrictions, as defined above.

Duration of Impairment

...[The impairment]...must have lasted or must be expected to last for a continuous period of at least 12 months. We call this the duration requirement. 20 CFR 416.909.

The medical evidence of record, on date of application, does not establish a severe physical impairment, on date of application, that had lasted or was expected to last for one year **continuous** duration.

Therefore, disability has not been established at Step 2, as defined above, by the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that disability was not medically established.

Accordingly, Medicaid denial is **UPHELD**.

/s/

William A. Sundquist
Administrative Law Judge
For Maura D. Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: March 5, 2012

Date Mailed: March 5, 2012

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

WAS/tb

cc:

