

**STATE OF MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

IN THE MATTER OF:

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 2012-11129
Issue No.: 2003
Case No.: [REDACTED]
Hearing Date: February 16, 2012
County: Wayne (19)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Colleen M. Mamelka

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Thursday, February 16, 2012, in Inkster, Michigan. Participating on behalf of Claimant was [REDACTED]. The Claimant was represented by [REDACTED]. Participating on behalf of the Department of Human Services ("Department") was [REDACTED].

ISSUE

Whether the Department properly processed the Claimant's July 26, 2010 Medical Assistance application, retroactive to May 2010?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. The Claimant submitted an application for medical assistance ("MA") on July 26, 2010 with retroactive benefits for May 2010.
2. At the time of application, the Claimant, and her spouse, lived together and were guardians of minor children.
3. The Department activated MA for the Claimant, her spouse, and the minor children.
4. The Department did not activate MA coverage retroactively as requested on the application.
5. During the hearing, the Department agreed to activate coverage retroactively to May 2010.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance program ("MA") is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations. The Department of Human Services, formerly known as the Family Independence Agency, administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual ("BAM"), the Bridges Eligibility Manual ("BEM"), and the Bridges Reference Tables ("RFT").

The law provides that disposition may be made of a contested case by stipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24.278(2)

In this case, the Department agreed to activate MA coverage retroactive to May 2010. All parties were amenable to this resolution. In light of the accord, there is no further issue that needs to be addressed.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law the Department's actions are not upheld.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED:

1. The Department's action is not upheld.
2. The Department shall, as agreed, initiate processing of the July 26, 2010 application retroactive to May 2010 in accordance with Department policy.
3. The Department shall notify the Claimant and her Authorized Hearing Representative of the determination in accordance with Department policy.
4. The Department shall supplement for lost benefits (if any) that the Claimant was entitled to receive with respect to the July 2010 application if otherwise eligible and qualified.

Colleen M. Mamelka

Colleen M. Mamelka
Administrative Law Judge
For Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 24, 2012

Date Mailed: February 24, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at
Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CMM/cl

cc:

