STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.:2012-9610Issue No.:3019Case No.:Image: County and the second second

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Vicki L. Armstrong

HEARING DECISION

In accordance with MCL 400.9, MCL 400.37, and 1999 AC, R 400.903, a hearing was held in this matter on February 8, 2012. Claimant personally appeared and provided testimony. The Department of Human Services (the Department) was represented by agency personnel.

ISSUE

In dispute was whether the Department properly denied Claimant's redetermination for the Food Assistance Program (FAP) based on excess assets.

FINDINGS OF FACT

Based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including the testimony of witnesses, the Administrative Law Judge, finds as relevant fact:

- 1. Claimant received benefits for Food Assistance Program (FAP).
- 2. On October 19, 2011, the Department sent Claimant notice of the reduction.
- 3. Beginning November 1, 2011, the Department closed Claimant's FAP benefits due to excess assets.
- 4. On October 28, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, contesting the Department's closure of FAP benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The FAP [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] was established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department administers the FAP in

accordance with MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1997 AACS, R 400.3001 through R 400.3015. Agency policies pertaining to this program are found in the BAM, BEM, and RFT.

To determine FAP eligibility, the group's assets during the benefit month cannot exceed **BEM 400**. Assets means cash, any other personal property and real property. At redetermination, Claimant listed cash in a checking account, mutual funds, stocks and trust.

Claimant testified during the hearing that in her trust account she had approximately, and over the in two separate mutual fund accounts.

Accordingly, the Department properly closed Claimant's benefits for FAP because she had assets in excess of

DECISION AND ORDER

Based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge finds that the Department did act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is AFFIRMED.

It is SO ORDERED.

/s/

Vicki L. Armstrong Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>2/8/12</u>

Date Mailed: <u>2/8/12</u>

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant;
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

VLA/ds

