STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2012-9606 Issue No.: 2009/3002

Case No.:

Hearing Date: January 5, 2012

County: Kent

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Vicki L. Armstrong

HEARING DECISION

In accordance with MCL 400.9, MCL 400.37, and 1999 AC, R 400.903, a hearing was held in this matter on January 5, 2012. Claimant personally appeared and provided testimony. The Department of Human Services (the Department) was represented by agency personnel.

ISSUE

In dispute was whether the Department properly reduced Claimant's benefits for the Food Assistance Program (FAP) based on excess income and whether the department properly closed Claimant's MA when he began receiving RSDI.

FINDINGS OF FACT

Based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including the testimony of witnesses, the Administrative Law Judge, finds as relevant fact:

- 1. Claimant was receiving benefits for Food Assistance Program (FAP) in the amount of a month and MA based on his SSI.
- On October 11, 2011, the Department sent Claimant notice of the reduction in his FAP benefits due to excess income and notice that his MA program was denied because he was not disabled.
- 4. On October 24, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, contesting the Department's reduction of benefits and denial of MA.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The FAP [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] was established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department administers the FAP in accordance with MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1997 AACS, R 400.3001 through R 400.3015. Agency policies pertaining to this program are found in the BAM, BEM, and RFT.

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or Department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

Here, Claimant testified that he did not understand why his FAP benefits had been reduced from \$200.00 to \$16.00. The department explained that once Claimant's SSI was changed to RSDI, he was no longer eligible for MA benefits and had to apply for MA. In addition, as a result of his increased RSDI income, according to the issuance tables, Claimant was only entitled to a \$16.00 FAP allotment. Claimant stated that he now understood and had no further questions.

DECISION AND ORDER

Based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge finds that the Department did act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is AFFIRMED.

It is SO ORDERED.

Date Mailed: ____1/13/12___

	/s/
	Vicki L. Armstrong
	Administrative Law Judge
	For Maura Corrigan, Director
	Department of Human Services
Date Signed: <u>1/13/12</u>	

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the
 original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant;
- · the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

VLA/ds

