# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2012-9355

Issue No.: 2018

Case No.:

Hearing Date: March 12, 2012 County: Macomb (50-12)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jonathan W. Owens

# **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, an inperson hearing was held on March 12, 2012, from Clinton Township, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant's representative,

Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

# <u>ISSUE</u>

Whether the Department properly denied Claimant's application for Medical Assistance MA) filed on April 20, 2011?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On April 11, 2011, an application for MA was submitted on behalf of Claimant.
- On May 12, 2011, a verification checklist was sent out to Claimant. This notice fails to indicate Claimant's representative being sent a copy. The Department was seeking employment earnings and requested Claimant return proof of income by May 23, 2011.
- 3. On May 12, 2011, the Department issued a notice of case action denying Claimant's application based on excess assets. This notice fails to indicate Claimant's representative being sent a copy.

- 4. On May 26, 2011, the Department issued a notice of case action indicating a denial for MA based on excess assets. This notice fails to indicate Claimant's representative being sent a copy.
- 5. On June 21, 2011, Claimant's representative received a copy of the Department's case denial and a copy of the verification checklist sent on May 12, 2011.
- 6. On September 13, 2011, the Department agreed via an email that the notice of case action indicating a denial based on excess assets was incorrect and the denial should have been based on failure to return requested verifications.
- 7. On September 21, 2011, Claimant's representative requested a hearing.

# **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT). The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seg., and 1997 AACS R 400.3101-FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective 3131. October 1, 1996. The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS)] program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seg., and 1997 AACS R 400.3001-3015 The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seg., and MCL 400.105. The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1998-2000 AACS R 400.3151-400.3180. The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of

1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.

In the instant case, an application for MA was submitted on behalf of Claimant by Claimant's authorized representative. The Department failed to send the verification checklist to Claimant's authorized representative. The Department denied Claimant's application, originally indicating on the notice of case action that denial was due to excess assets. In a later email communication, the Department acknowledged this was incorrect and indicated the denial was for failure to return requested employment verifications. As indicated above, however, the Department failed to send a verification checklist to the authorized representative.

| Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department $\square$ properly $\bowtie$ improperly   |
|--|
| <ul><li>☐ closed Claimant's case</li><li>☐ denied Claimant's application</li><li>☐ reduced Claimant's benefits</li></ul>   |
| DECISION AND ORDER   |
| The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department   ightharpoonup did act properly idea of the department in the conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department idea of the conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department idea of the conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department idea of the conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department idea of the conclusions idea of the conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department idea of the conclusions idea of the con |
| Accordingly, the Department's decision is $\square$ AFFIRMED $\boxtimes$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.  |
| $\boxtimes$ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:  |
| <ol> <li>Initiate reprocessing of Claimant's application dated April 11, 2012;</li> <li>Process Claimant's application and provide notice of case action in writing to</li> </ol>  |

Claimant and his authorized representative according to policy.

/ Jonathan W. Owens
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: March 14, 2012

Date Mailed: March 14, 2012

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
  of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

### JWO/pf

