STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.:2012-9125Issue No.:2006; 3008Case No.:Image: Case No.:Hearing Date:December 1, 2011County:Macomb County

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burke

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Dece mber 1, 2011, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included

ISSUE

Due to a failure to comply with the ve rification requirements, did the Department properly and deny Claimant's application close Claimant's case reduce Claimant's benefits for:

imes
\sim

Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

Adult Medical Program (AMP)?

State Disability Assistance (SDA)?
Child Development and Care (CDC)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantia levidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:

- 1. Cla imant applied for was receiving: FIP APA AMP SDA CDC.
- 2. Cla imant 🖾 was 🗌 was not provided with Redetermi nation Forms and a Notic e of Missed Interview.

2012-9125/SCB

- 3. Claimant attempted to contact the Depart ment when the Departm ent did not call for its Redetermination Interview and again when he r eceived a Notice of Missed Interview. The Department did not return Claimant's phone messages.
- 4. On August 31, the Department

denied Claimant's application

 \boxtimes closed Claimant's case for FAP.

reduced Claimant's benefits

for failure to submit verification in a timely manner.

5. On October 1, 2011, the Department

denied Claimant's application

 \boxtimes closed Claimant's case for AMP.

reduced Claimant's benefits

for failure to submit verification in a timely manner.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Feder al Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Fam ily Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by Title XXI of the Social Security Act; (1115)(a)(1) of the Social Se curity Act, and is administered by the Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independenc e Agency) pursuant to MCL 400.10 *et seq.*

Clients must cooperate with the local DHS office in obtaining verification for determining initial and ongoing eligibility. BAM 130. The questionable information might be from the client or a third party. *Id.* The Department can use docum ents, collateral contacts or home calls to verify information. *Id.* The client should be allowed 10 calendar days to provide the verification. If the client cannot provide the verification despite a reasonable effort, the time limit to provide the information should be extended at least once. BAM 130. If the client refuses to provide the in formation or has not made a reasonable effort within the specified time period, then polic y directs that a negative action be issued. BAM 130.

In the present cas e, there was some evidence that the Department issue d Redetermination forms to Claimant, instructing him to be present for a telephone interview on August 2, 2011. Claimant was awa iting the telephone c all, but the Department did not even initiate the call because a page was missing from Claimant's verification. Claimant called the Department when the Department did not call for his interview and aga in s everal times when he received the Notic e of Missed interview, instructing him to call to reschedule the appointment. Claimant did not receive a phone call back from the Department. I cannot find that Claimant re fused to cooperate, as he did cooperate to the best of his understanding. In addition, the Department is instructed to protect client right s in BAM 105. The Department did not protect Claimant's rights when the worker chose not to return Claimant's phone calls.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly improperly

Closed Claimant's case.

denied Claimant's application.

reduced Claimant's benefits.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department i did act properly. i did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Depar tment's decision is \Box AFFIRMED \boxtimes REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Initiate reinstatement of Claimant's FAP case effective August 31, 2011, if Claimant is otherwise eligible for FAP.
- 2. Initiate issuance of FA P supplements to Claimant, Augus t 31, 2011 and ongoing, if Claimant is otherwise eligible.
- 3. Initiate reinstatement of Claimant's AMP case, effective October 1, 2011, if Claimant is otherwise eligible for AMP.

Jusa C. Buche

Susan C. Burke Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>12/6/11</u>

Date Mailed: <u>12/6/11</u>

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the receipt date of this Dec ision and Orde r. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SCB/sm

