STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

-	

Reg. No.: Issue Nos.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:

20129038 6019, 6043

December 13, 2011 Macomb (50-12)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Corey A. Arendt

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on December 13, 2011, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included . Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

ISSUE

Due to excess income, did the Department properly and deny the Claimant's application Close Claimant's case reduce Claimant's benefits for:

l		
I		
Ē		i

Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)?

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

Child Development and Care (CDC)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant applied for benefits for: X received benefits for:



Family Independence Program (FIP).

Food Assistance Program (FAP). Medical Assistance (MA).

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).

State Disability Assistance (SDA).

Child Development and Care (CDC).

- On July 31, 2011, the Department denied Claimant's application Closed Claimant's case reduced Claimant's benefits due to excess income.
- On August 22, 2011, the Department sent
 □ Claimant □ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the □ denial. □ closure. □ reduction.
- 4. On August 31, 2011, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting the

denial of the application.	\boxtimes closure of the case.	reduction of benefits.
----------------------------	----------------------------------	------------------------

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*.

☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

☐ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

☐ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.

The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of

1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Timely notice is given for a **negative action** unless policy specifies adequate notice or no notice. A timely notice is mailed at least 11 days before the intended negative action takes effect. The action is pended to provide the client a chance to react to the proposed action. (BAM 220).

Policy in this case does not specify adequate notice or no notice when a Claimant's CDC benefits are closed due to excess income. Therefore, Claimant is entitled to timely notice in this matter.

Negative Actions: If timely notice is required, the negative action date must be the first work day at least 11 days after the notice was sent, or the date the change is expected to occur if that is later. If adequate or no notice is required, the negative action date is immediate (the day action is taken on the change), but not before the change is expected to occur. (BAM 220).

CDC case closures and member removals (for example, removing an eligible child) take effect on the negative action date. (BAM 220).

In the present case, there is no dispute that Claimant had income in excess of the policy limits for CDC benefits. The only issue pertains to the notice provided to Claimant. Based on the testimony and exhibits presented, I find the Department did not provide Claimant with adequate notice as required by Department policy. Based on policy, the case closure is to take effect 11 days after the notice is issued. Because the notice in this case was issued on August 22, 2011, the closure should have occurred on September 4, 2011, and not July 31, 2011.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, I find that, due to excess income, the Department \Box properly \boxtimes improperly

denied	Claimant's	application
	1 01 1 11	

reduced Claimant's benefits

 \boxtimes closed Claimant's case

for: \square AMP \square FIP \square FAP \square MA \square SDA \boxtimes CDC.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department i did act properly i did not act properly. Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \square FAP \square MA \square SDA \boxtimes CDC decision is \square AFFIRMED \boxtimes REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Initiate a redetermination as to Claimant's eligibility for CDC benefits beginning July 31, 2011, and extending through September 4, 2011, and issue retroactive benefits if otherwise eligible and qualified.

() **Corey A. Arendt** Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: December 14, 2011

Date Mailed: December 14, 2011

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CAA/pf

