STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2012-8620 Issue No.: 1003; 3019 Case No.:

Hearing Date: December 1, 2011

County: Wayne (49)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Alice C. Elkin

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administ rative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on December 1, 2011, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included contact worker for Claimant's caseworker.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly close Claimant's FIP case and disqualify her from her FAP group for failure to cooperate with child support?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- Claimant was an ongoing recipient of FIP and FAP benefits.
- On October 10, 2011, t he Department sent Claimant a Notic e of Case Action notifying her that, effective Nov ember 1, 2011, her F IP case would c lose and her FAP benefits would be reduced to \$526 per month for the period from November 1, 2011, to March 31, 2011.
- 3. On October 20, 2011, Claim ant filed a hear ing request disputing the Department's action.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT). The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established purs uant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 t hrough R 400.3131. FI P replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. The Food Assistance e Program (FAP) [for merly known as the Food Stamp (FS)] program] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independenc e Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seg., and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 through R 400.3015. The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independenc Agency) administers the MA pr ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10. et seg., and MC L 400.105. The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seg. The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The D epartment of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3 151 through R 400.3180. The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establishhed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Ch ild Care and Developm ent Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Depart ment provides servic es to adults and children pursuant to MCL

Additionally, any individual requir ed to coope rate, who fails to cooperate without goo d cause, with paternity and obtai ning child support causes gr oup ineligibility for FIP benefits for a minimum of one m onth. BEM 255. An individual's failure to cooperat e without good cause also results in disqualification of that individual from the FAP group,

400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, R 400.5001 through R 400.5015.

with the result that the indi vidual is removed from the F AP EDG group until the later of one month or when the client cooperates. BEM 255.

In this case, the Department testified that, based on information on its system indicating that Claimant was in noncompliance with child support as of October 10, 2011, it closed Claimant's FIP case and reduced Claimant's FAP benefits based on her disqualification. At the hearing, the Departm ent was unable to prod uce any evidence c oncerning the noncooperation at issue. It could not produce a copy of the Notice of Noncooperation sent to Claimant indic ating her noncomplia nce and could not verify whether one was sent. It did not know which of Claimant's three children was affected. The Department was given the opportunity to have someone from the Office of Child Support participate in the hearing but did not pursue the offer.
Claimant credibly testified that she did not receive any notice from the Offic e of Child Support notifying her of any noncooperation and had unsuccessfully tried to contact t he Office of Child Support. Because the Department produced no evidence concerning Claimant's noncooperation with her child support requirements other than its conc lusion that its syst em indicated that Claimant refusal to cooperate with child support, the D epartment did not satisfy its burden of proof in this case to show that it clos ed Claimant's F IP ca se and reduced her F AP benefits in accordance with Department policy.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions
of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department
did act properly when .
☑ did not act properly when closed Claimant's FIP case and reduced her FAP benefits
on the basis that Claimant was in noncooperation with child support.
Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \boxtimes FIP \boxtimes FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \square AFFIRMED \boxtimes REVERSED for the reasons stated above and on the record.
oxtimes THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Remove the negative action closing Claim ant's FIP case effective Novem ber 1, 2011, on the basis of noncooperation with child support:
- 2. Remove Claimant's disqualification as a member of her FAP group, effective November 1, 2011, for failure to cooperate with child support;
- 3. Recalculate Claimant's FAP budget to include Claimant in the FAP group for November 1, 2011, ongoing; and

4. Issue supplements to Claimant for FI P benefits and FAP benefits Claimant was entitled to receive, but did not, for November 1, 2011, ongoing.

Alice C. Elkin
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: December 14, 2011

Date Mailed: December 14, 2011

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision.
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

ACE/ctl

cc: Wayne County DHS (49)/1843

