STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 201285 Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:

3008; 2000

October 24, 2011 Oakland County

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burke

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administ rative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Oc tober 24, 2011, from Detroi t, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant in cluded Attorney Pa rticipants on beh alf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included ES.

ISSUE

Did the Departm ent properly \prod deny Claiman t's application \bigotimes close Claimant's case for:

	Х	
1		

Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)?

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?
State Disability Assistance (SDA)?
Child Development and Care (CDC)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Cla imant applied for benefits received benefits for:

	Х	
1		

Family Independence Program (FIP).

Food Assistance Program (FAP). Medical Assistance (MA).

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).

State Disability Assistance (SDA).

Child Development and Care (CDC).

- On October 1, 2011, the Department

 ☐ denied Claimant's application
 ☑ closed Claimant's case due to
 ☑
- On or about October 4, 2011, the Department sent
 □ Claimant
 □ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)
 □ denial.
 □ closure.
- Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the
 ☐ denial of the application. ⊠ closure of the case.

5. Claimant also request ed a hearing r egarding Medica I Assistance, but at the hearing the Claimant 's Representative st ated that he no longer requested a hearing regarding MA on behalf of Claimant.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [for merly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) program] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is implemented by the federal r egulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independenc e Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independenc e Agency) administers the MA pr ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and MC L 400.105.

Clients must cooperate with the local DHS office in obtaining verification for determining initial and ongoing eligibility. BAM 130. The questionable information might be from the client or a third party. *Id.* The Department can use docum ents, collateral contacts or home calls to verify information. *Id.* The client should be allowed 10 calendar days to provide the verification. If the client cannot provide the verification despite a reasonable effort, the time limit to provide the information should be extended at least once. BAM 130. If the client refuses to provide the in formation or has not made a reasonable effort within the specified time peri od, then polic y directs that a negative action be issued. BAM 130.

Also, the Department must show particular sensitivity to those who are not fluent in English. BAM 105.

In the present case, the Department and Claimant's representative experienced miscommunication, as the representative had placed his phone number on a hearing request, but not on Claimant's redetermination paperwork. When communication was established, Claimant's representative submitted requested information a day after the proofs were due. An additional time limit was not extended. I cannot find that Claimant refused to cooperate, as it appears that Claimant's representative submitted information as soon as practicable.

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Co nclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department

properly denied Claimant's application	improperly denied Claimant's application
properly closed Claimant's case	improperly closed Claimant's case

for: \square AMP \square FIP \boxtimes FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC.

In addition, as to MA, The Michigan Administrative Code R 400.903(1) provides as follows:

An opportunity for a hearing shall be granted to an applicant who requests a hearing becaus e his c laim for assistance is denied or is not acted upon with reasonable prompt ness, and to any recipient who is aggrieved by an agency action resulting in suspension, r eduction, dis continuance, or termination of assistance.

In the present case, Claimant's representative stated that he was no longer requesting a hearing with respect to MA on behalf of Cl aimant as he underst ood the actions of the Department.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department i did act properly. i did not act properly.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED that the Department's AMP FIP SFAP AA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

It is further ORDERED that Claimant's request for hearing regarding MA is DISMISSED pursuant to the request of Claimant's representative.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Initiate reinstatement of Claimant's FAP case, effective October 1, 2011.
- 2. Initiate issuance of FAP supplements for any missed or increased benefits, effective October 1, 2011, if Claimant is otherwise eligible for FAP.

100 C. Buche

Susan C. Burke Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 10/28/11

Date Mailed: <u>10/28/11</u>

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehe aring was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SCB/sm

