### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2012-845 Issue No.: 3000 Case No.: October 24, 2011 Hearing Date: County: Wayne County

# ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burke

# SETTLEMENT ORDER

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Oc tober 24, 2011, from Detroi t, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claim ant includ ed Claimant, and Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included ES and

# ISSUE

Whether the Department properly:

denied Claimant's application for benefits closed Claimant's case for benefits

reduced Claimant's benefits

for:

Family Independence Program (FIP)?

Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

Medical Assistance (MA)?

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?

State Disability Assistance (SDA)? Child Development and Care (CDC)?

State Emergency Services (SER)?

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On October 1,2011, the Department:

denied Claimant's application for benefits
closed Claimant's case for benefits
reduced Claimant's benefits

under the following program(s):

🗌 FIP	🛛 FAP	🗌 MA	🗌 AMP	🗌 SDA		SER.
-------	-------	------	-------	-------	--	------

2. On September 16, 2011, the Department sent notice to Claimant (or Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative) of the:

	denial
	closure
imes	reduction.

- 3. On September 19, 2011, Claimant filed a request for r hearing concerning the Department's action.
- 4. At the hear ing, the De partment agreed to re-determine Claimant's FAP budget, effective October 4, 2011, taking into account all current relevant information.
- 5. As a result of the agreement, Claimant indicated he no longer wished to proce ed with the hearing.

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), the Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and the State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Feder al Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Fam ily Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

2012-845/SCB

The law pr ovides that disposition may be made of a contest ed case by s tipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24.278(2).

In the present case, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the Department's action. Soon after commencement of the hearing, the parties testified that they had reached a settlement concerning the disputed action. Consequently, the Department agreed to do the following: re-determine CI aimant's FAP budget, effective October 4, 2011, taking into account all current relevant information.

As a result of this settlement, Claimant no longer wish ed to proc eed with the hearing. As such, it is unnec essary for this Admi nistrative Law Judge to render a decis ion regarding the facts and issues in this case.

#### DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING:

Initiate redetermination of Claim ant's FAP budget, effective October 4, 2011, taking into account all current relevant information

Jusa C. Buche

Susan C. Burke Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 10/28/11

Date Mailed: 10/28/11

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

#### 2012-845/SCB

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Re Michigan Administrative hearings consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SCB/ sm

CC:			