STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE WAT	TER OF.	Issue No:	3008	
		Case No: Hearing Date: SSPC-EAST	February 7, 2012	
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully				
	HEARING DECI	SION		
and MCL 4 telephone he Participants	is before the undersigned Administrat 00.37 following Claimant's request earing was held on Tuesday, Febru on behalf of Claimant included on behalf of Department of Hun and	for a hearing. A ary 7, 2012, from l an	fter due notice, a Lansing, Michigan. d	
	<u>ISSUE</u>			
	ailure to comply with the verificatio deny Claimant's application			
☐ Food As	ndependence Program (FIP)? sistance Program (FAP)? Assistance (MA)?	State Disability Ass Child Development	,	
	FINDINGS OF F	ACT		
	strative Law Judge, based upon the the whole record, including testimony	•	•	
1.	Claimant ⊠ applied for ☐ was rece CDC.	eiving: □FIP ⊠FAI	P MA SDA	
2.	Claimant \boxtimes was \square was not pr (DHS-3503).	ovided with a Ve	rification Checklist	
3.	Claimant was required to so October 17, 2011.	submit requested	verification by	

4.	On October 19, 2011, the Department 🖂 denied Claimant's application ☐ closed Claimant's case ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits for failure to submit verification in a timely manner.
5.	On October 19, 2011, the Department sent notice of the \boxtimes denial of Claimant's application. \square closure of Claimant's case. \square reduction of Claimant's benefits.
6.	On October 28, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the \boxtimes denial. \square closure. \square reduction.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges nual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Responsibilit 42 USC 601,	ily Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal y and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ninisters FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 400.3131.
program] is implemented Regulations	d Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ninisters FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 400.3015.
Security Act	ical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). nent administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10.
for disabled as the Famil	e Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known y Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL eq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.
and XX of the state of the program and 99. The	d Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE he Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of e Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. It is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 e Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL d 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, the Department established on the record that the Claimant failed to submit necessary verification documents necessary to determine his eligibility to receive

benefits in a timely manner. The Department agreed to re-process his application for benefits if the Claimant would submit additional verification of income and expenses. Without these additional expense verification documents, it is likely that the Claimant will not be eligibile to receive benefits due to excess income. Since the Department has not actually issued a denial of benefits based on excess income, the only negative action that can be decided upon from this hearing is the denial of the Claimant's application for failure to provide the Department with information necessary to determine her eligibility to receive benefits on October 19, 2011.

The Claimant retains the right to request an additional hearing concerning any subsequent denial of her application for benefits.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department \boxtimes properly \square improperly \square closed Claimant's case. \boxtimes denied Claimant's application. \square reduced Claimant's benefits.
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \boxtimes did act properly. \square did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's decision is $igtimes$ AFFIRMED $igcap$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
/s/
Kevin Scully
Administrative Law Judge
For Maura Corrigan, Director

Date Signed: February 7, 2012

Date Mailed: February 7, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the receipt date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

Department of Human Services

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

KS/tb

CC:

