STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-8311 2018; 3022 December 15, 2011 Wayne (41)		
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Alice C. Elkin				
HEARING DECISION				
husband, and Claimant 's d Representative (AHR). <u>Participa</u> nts on behalf		er due notice, a etroit, Michigan. Claimant's thorized Hearing f Hu <u>man Ser</u> vice s		
ISSUE				
Due to a failure to comply with the ve rification requirements, did the Department properly deny Claimant's application close Claimant's case reduce Claimant's benefits for:				
Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)?	-	Assistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?		
FINDINGS OF F	ACT			
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the evidence on the whole record, including testimony		rial, and substantia I ds as material fact:		
1. Cla imant ☐ applied for ☒ was receiving: ☐F	FIP SFAP MA	□SDA □CDC.		
2. Cla imant ⊠ was ☐ was not provided with a	Redetermination	form (DHS-1010).		

3.	Claimant was required to submit a completed redetermination by December 1, 2010.
4.	On January 1, 2011, the Department denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's FAP case reduced Claimant's benefits for failure to submit her redetermination form in a timely manner.
5.	On February 1, 2011, the Department denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's MA case reduced Claimant's benefits for failure to submit her redetermination form in a timely manner.
6.	On December 16, 2010, the Department sent notice of the denial of Claimant's application. Closure of Claimant's FAP and MA cases. reduction of Claimant's benefits.
7.	On February 11, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial. ☐ closure. ☐ reduction.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges gibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence jency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 rough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program fective October 1, 1996.
pro im Re Ag	The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) ogram] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence plency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.
Se Th	The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the A program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.

☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) progr am which provides financial as sistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Depart ment (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) admini sters the SDA program pursuant to M CL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.
☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establishhed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of
1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996.
The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98
and 99. The Department provides servicies to adult a and children pursuant to MCL
400.14(1) and 1999 AC. Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, the Department must periodic ally redetermine an individual's continued eligibility for benefits. BAM 220. The Department testifi ed that it sent Claimant a redetermination form (DHS 1010) on November 16, 2010, in connection with determining her continued eligibility for FAP and MA benefits. Claimant was required to (i) participate in an interview on Dec ember 1, 2010, and (ii) complete the redetermination form and provi de the requested proofs by December 1, 2010. On December 16, 2010, the Depart ment closed Claimant's FAP and MA cases based on Claimant's failure to return the completed redetermination form.

At the hearing, Claimant's AHR test ified that Claimant had su bmitted the redetermination form to the Department on two separate occasions, one prior to the December 1, 2010, due date and the other on the morning of December 1, 2010. The first time. Claimant submitt ed the form in the drop box and signed the sign-in log. Claimant claimed that she bec ame aware that the Department lost her first completed ng her that she needed to submit the form when it sent her a notice advisi redetermination. Claimant 's AHR testified that Claimant completed anoth redetermination form, went to the Department on the morning of December 1, 2010, and handed the redetermination form directly to the man at the front desk. Claimant did not sign in the sign-in log on this occasion. She then returned home to await the Department's phone interview, which was scheduled at 1:45 pm, but claimed she did not receive any such call.

The Department credibly testified that it was office policy that clients sign in the sign-in log any time they drop off material to the office and that, if a client submitted documents at the front desk, they would be redirected to the drop- off box and sign-in log. At the hearing, the Department reviewed the sign-i n log for the period from November 16, 2010, when the redetermination form was sent to Claimant, and Dec ember 1, 2010, when the form was due, and found no signat ure by Claimant's clai m that she had submitted any docum ents during this period des pite Claimant's clai m that she signed the log the first time she submitted her completed redetermination. Based on the Department's finding that no redetermination form was submitted by Claimant and Claimant's failure to establish that she in fa ct did turn in the form, the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it closed Claimant's FAP and MA cases

based on Claimant's failure to timely submit the completed redetermination form. BAM 210. Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly improperly Closed Claimant's case. denied Claimant's application. reduced Claimant's benefits. **DECISION AND ORDER** The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly. did not act properly. X AFFIRMED ☐ REVERSED for the Accordingly, the Depar tment's decision is reasons stated above and on the record. THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER: 1. Alice C. Elkin Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services Date Signed: December 28, 2011 Date Mailed: December 28, 2011 **NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the receipt date of this Dec ision and Orde r. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases) The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

ACE/ctl

