STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

		 ^ -
	 	 OF:

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-78358 2018 December 17, 2012 Wayne (82-31)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Robert J. C	Chavez	
HEARING DE	ECISION	
This matter is before the undersigned Administration and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's requestelephone hearing was held on December Participants on behalf of Claimant included Department of Human Services (Department)	est for a hearing. er 17, 2012, from Particip	After due notice, a
ISSUI	E	
Due to a failure to meet eligibility requirement Claimant's application \boxtimes close Claimant's cas		
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?		assistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS O	F FACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon evidence on the whole record, including testim		
 Claimant ☐ applied for ☒ was receiving: 	∏FIP ∏FAP ⊠MA	□SDA □CDC.
2. Claimant did not allege a dependent child o	or a disability.	
 3. On October 1, 2012, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application. ☐ closed Claimant's case. ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits . 		

	On September 5, 2012, the Department sent notice of the denial of Claimant's application. closure of Claimant's case. reduction of Claimant's benefits.
	On September 17, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the denial of Claimant's application. closure of Claimant's case. reduction of Claimant's benefits.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	partment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges gibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Res 42 Age 313	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal sponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 400.3101-31. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective tober 1, 1996.
pro imp Reg Age	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) gram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is blemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal gulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 0.3001-3015
Sec The	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
for as	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 0.10, et seq., and 1998-2000 AACS R 400.3151-400.3180.
and 199 The	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 30, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. Exprogram is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 d 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 0.14(1) and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.

Date Signed: January 4, 2013

Date Mailed: January 4, 2013

Additionally, the Department testified that Claimant was considered for all MA programs in an ex parte review, including the AMP program, after Claimant was found to not be the caretaker of a minor child. Claimant did not meet the eligibility requirements for any program, as Claimant had not alleged disability and was not the caretaker of a dependent child. The AMP program is currently closed to enrollment. Therefore, as Claimant did not meet eligibility requirements and as Claimant was unable to articulate what program she thought she qualified for or how the Department's closure was in error, the Administrative Law Judge holds that the Department was correct to close the MA case in question.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department \square properly \square improperly

DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department ightharpoonup identification in the conclusion in the conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department identification in the conclusions in the conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department identification is conclusions.
Accordingly, the Department's decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
W/ Juni
Robert J. Chavez Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

2012-78358/RJC

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

RJC/pf

