## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-77355 3008
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Suzanne M	Iorris	
HEARING DE	<u>ECISION</u>	
This matter is before the undersigned Administrand MCL 400.37 following Claimant's requestelephone hearing was held on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Human Services (Department) included	est for a hearing. , M	After due notice, a ichigan. Participants
ISSUE	Ē	
Due to a failure to comply with the verification $\square$ closenefits for:		
Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)?		assistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS OF FACT		
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon evidence on the whole record, including testime	•	-
<ol> <li>Claimant ☐ applied for ☒ was receiving: [</li> </ol>	∏FIP ⊠FAP ∏MA	□SDA □CDC.
2. Claimant ⊠ was ☐ was not provided with a Verification Checklist (DHS-3503).		
3. Claimant was required to submit requested	verification by	
4. On, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ closed Claimant's case		

reduced Claimant's benefits for failure to submit verification in a timely manner.
the Department sent notice of the denial of Claimant's application. closure of Claimant's case. reduction of Claimant's benefits.
6. On, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the denial closure reduction.
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 chrough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.
The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.
The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.
The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC. R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

accounts on her Redetermination packet, the department had previous information showing the claimant did have accounts. Therefore, on the claimant was mailed a Verification Checklist (DHS-3503) requiring her to submit proof of her checking account/savings accounts and any accounts for her children. On the claimant faxed in proof of the balance of savings accounts for and however, the claimant provided no information on any accounts for herself. The claimant testified during this hearing that she no longer had any checking/savings account for herself and that her RSDI was now deposited onto a direct express card (like a debit card). However, the claimant had a checking account as late as the claimant had a checking account as late as the claimant of the department that this account existed or had been closed. The Verification Checklist did specifically request information on a checking/savings account the claimant had. The claimant should have provided a statement that she did not have any checking/savings account so the department would be aware that the claimant did not have this asset.
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly improperly
<ul> <li>☐ closed Claimant's case.</li> <li>☐ denied Claimant's application.</li> <li>☐ reduced Claimant's benefits.</li> </ul>
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department   ☐ did not act properly. ☐ did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's decision is $\boxtimes$ AFFIRMED $\square$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
Suzanne L. Morris Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services  Date Signed:  Date Mailed:

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the receipt date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## SLM/jk

