STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

| IN THE MATTER OF. | Dog No. | 2012 77200 | |
|--|--|--|--|
| | Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County: | 2012-77298 3000 | |
| ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Suzanne Morris | | | |
| HEARING DECISION | | | |
| This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on the property of Claimant included her representative participants of Department of Human Services (Department) included. | | | |
| <u>ISSUE</u> | | | |
| Did the Department properly \square deny Claimant's application \boxtimes close Claimant's case for: | | | |
| Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)? | | sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)? | |
| FINDINGS OF FACT | | | |
| The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact: | | | |
| . Claimant ☐ applied for benefits ⊠ received benefits for: | | | |
| ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☐ Medical Assistance (MA). | | ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC). | |

| | In the Department denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case due to failure to pass alien status. | |
|--|---|--|
| _ | or, the Department sent ☑ Claimant ☐ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the ☐ denial. ☑ closure. | |
| 4. C | Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the denial of the application. Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the case. | |
| CONCLUSIONS OF LAW | | |
| Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT). | | |
| Resp 42 U Agen throu | The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal ponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, JSC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ncy) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 ugh Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ctive October 1, 1996. | |
| prog imple Reg Agei | The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) [ram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is emented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal ulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ncy) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 ugh Rule 400.3015. | |
| Secu The Age | The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social urity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence ncy) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 105. | |
| | The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is inistered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq. | |
| for of Serv prog | The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human vices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA gram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 3180. | |
| and 1990 | The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of D, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 | |

and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, the department closed the claimant's case as a result of a mass update that occurred because the department was not initially required to verify citizenship for individuals. However, later those individuals who did not have citizenship verified were closed out of benefits in a mass update, without giving the claimant the chance to provide verification of citizenship. Therefore, in this case, the claimant was never allowed the opportunity to provide the department with verification of her citizenship before the department closed her case through this mass update. The claimant has provided proof of U.S. citizenship. Thus, this Administrative Law Judge finds that the claimant was denied due process when she was a mass update removed claimant from benefits without being given the opportunity to provide documentation of citizenship.

| Based upon the above Findings of Fact a stated on the record, the Administrative Lav | nd Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons v Judge concludes that the Department | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| properly denied Claimant's application properly closed Claimant's case | ☐ improperly denied Claimant's application ☐ improperly closed Claimant's case | | | |
| for: | | | | |
| DECISION AND ORDER | | | | |
| The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly. | | | | |
| Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record. | | | | |
| ☑ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER: | | | | |
| Reinstate the claimant's FAP benefits back to the date of closure and issue the claimant any retroactive FAP benefits that she is entitled to receive. SO ORDERED. | | | | |
| Date Signed: | Suzanne L. Morris Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services | | | |
| Dato Mallou. | | | | |

<u>NOTICE</u>: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or

reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SLM/jk

