STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

DEPARTMENT OF HUMA	N SERVICES		
IN THE MATTER OF:			
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	201276873 3008, 2006 October 17, 2012 Ottawa	
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully			
HEARING DECIS	SION		
This matter is before the undersigned Administrati and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request telephone hearing was held on October 17, 2012, on behalf of Claimant included of Human Services (Department) included ISSUE	for a hearing. from Lansing, Mi	After due notice, a	
Due to a failure to comply with the verification requirements, did the Department properly ☐ deny Claimant's application ☒ close Claimant's case ☐ reduce Claimant's benefits for:			
	_	ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)?	
FINDINGS OF F	<u>ACT</u>		
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the evidence on the whole record, including testimony			
 Claimant ☐ applied for ☒ was red ☐CDC. 	eiving: □FIP 🗵	JFAP ⊠MA □SDA	
2. Claimant ⊠ was ☐ was not pro (DHS-3503).	ovided with a V	erification Checklist	

required to submit requested verification

by

Claimant was

August 27, 2012.

3.

4.	On August 29, 2012, the Department denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case reduced Claimant's benefits for failure to submit verification in a timely manner.	
5.	On August 29, 2012, the Department sent notice of the \square denial of Claimant's application. \boxtimes closure of Claimant's case. \square reduction of Claimant's benefits.	
6.	On September 1, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial. ☐ closure. ☐ reduction.	
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW		
•	policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges nual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).	
Responsibility 42 USC 601 Agency) adress through Rule	ily Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal ty and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ministers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 e 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program tober 1, 1996.	
program] is implemented Regulations	od Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ministers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 et 400.3015.	
Security Act The Departn	lical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). nent (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and MCL 400.105.	
for disabled as the Fami	e Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known ly Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL eq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.	
and XX of the 1990, and the program and 99.	d Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. In is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 the Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.	

cased upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons tated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Departmen properly ☐ improperly ☒ closed Claimant's case. ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits.
DECISION AND ORDER
he Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions f Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Departmen did act properly.
accordingly, the Department's decision is $oxed{oxed}$ AFFIRMED $oxed{oxed}$ REVERSED for the easons stated on the record.
<u>/s/</u>
Kevin Scully
Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services
ate Signed: October 18, 2012

Date Mailed: October 18, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the receipt date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

201276873/KS

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

KS/tb



