STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:			Reg. No: Issue No:	201276015 3008
			Case No:	October 3, 2012
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Corey A. Arendt				
HEARING DECISION				
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Oct ober 3, 2012, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on beh alf of Cla imant includ ed Participa nts on beha If of Department of Human Services (Department) included				
ISSUE				
Due to a failure to comply with the v erification requirements, did the Department properly ☑ deny Claimant's application ☐ close Claimant's case ☐ reduce Claimant's benefits for:				
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)? ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?				
FINDINGS OF FACT				
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon—the competent, material, and substantia—l evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:				
1.		Claimant ⊠ applied for ☐ was receiv ☐CDC.	ing: □FIP ⊠F	AP □MA □SDA
:	2.	On August 15, 2012, the Claim ant verification checklist (DHS-3503).	was was not	t prov ided with a
;	3.	Claimant was requir ed to submit req 2012.	uested v erificatio	on by August 27,
	4.	On August 28, 2012, t he Department s	ent the CI aiman	t a notic e of case

action indicating the Department had denied the Claimant's application for

FAP benefits.

5. On September 5, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the application denial.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The FAP [formerly known as the F ood Stamp (FS) program] is established by the F ood Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in T itle 7 of the Code of F ederal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

Clients must cooperate with the local office in determining initial and ongoing eligibility. This includes completion of necessary forms. Client's must completely and truthfully answer all questions on forms and in interviews.

Although the Claimant alleges to have turned in all of the requested verification, they were not received until after the due date. Therefore the Department could not determine the Claimant's eligibility for FAP benefits and rightfully denied the Claimant's application.

Accordingly, I find the Depar tment acted in accordance wit h the applicable laws and policies in denying the Claimant's FAP application.

DECISION AND ORDER

I find based upon the abov e F indings of F act and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Department did act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

Corey A. Arendt Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: October 3, 2012

Date Mailed: October 4, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the receipt date of this Dec ision and Ord er. MAH S will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly disc overed evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision.
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obv ious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address ot her relev ant iss ues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative hearings
Recons ideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CAA/las

CC:

