STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Vicki L. Armstrong

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's request for a hearing received on September 7, 2012. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on January 23 2013. Claimant personally appeared and provided testimony.

ISSUES

Whether the Department of Human Serv ices (Department) properly det ermined the Claimant's Medical Assistance (MA) eligibility spend-down?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- The department mailed Claimant a Noti ce of Case Action on August 8, 2012, infor ming Claimant he had a \$ 0 MA spend-down based on Claimant's income exceeding the \$ program limit. (Department Exhibit A, pp 1-2).
- 2. Claimant's in a fiscal group size of 1.
- 3. Claimant submitted a hearing request on Septem ber 7, 2012, contesting the amount of his spend-down for MA. (Request for a Hearing).

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The regulations governing the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are found in the Michigan Administrative Code, MAC R 400.901-400.951. An oppor tunity for a hearing shall be granted to an ap plicant who requests a hearing because his claim for assistance is denied. MAC R 400.903(1). An

.

opportunity for a hearing shall be granted to because of a denial. MAC R 400.903(2).

an applicant who requests a hearing

Clients have the right to c ontest a department decis ion affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever it is belie ved that the decision is inco rrect. BAM 600. The department will provide an adm inistrative hearing to review the decision and determine the appropriateness. BAM 600.

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estab lished by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by T itle 42 of the C ode of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Servic es (DHS or Department) adm inisters the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400. 105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The goal of the Medicaid program is to ensure that essentia I health care s ervices are made available to those who ot herwise could not afford them. Medicaid is also known as Medical Assistanc e (MA). Michigan provides MA for eligible clients under two classifications: Group 1 and Group 2 MA. Claimant falls under the AD-CARE group. MCL 400.106; MSA 16.490(16), MCL 400.107; MSA 16.490(17) and BEM 105.

The State of Michigan has set guidelines for income, which determine if an MA group is eligible. Income eligibility exists for the calendar month tested when:

There is no excess income, or Allowable medical expenses excess income (under the D BEM 545.

In order to qualify for Group 2 MA, a medic ally needy client must have inc ome which is equal to or less than the protective basic ma intenance level. Agency policy sets forth a method for determining the protective basic maintenance level by considering: (1) The protected income level; (2) the amount diverted to depende nts; (3) health insuranc e premiums; and (4) remedial ser vices, if determining eligibility for clients in adult-care homes. The protected income level is the set amount for non-medica I needs such as shelter, food, and incidental expenses. In all other situations, other than those involving long-term care, the appropriate income leve I must be taken from RFT 240. BEM Item 544 and 42 CFR 435.811-435.814. If the client's income exceeds the protected income level, the excess amount (MA deductible) must be used to pay medical expenses before Group 2 coverage can begin.

An individual or MA group whos e income is in excess of the mont hly protected income level is ineligible to receive MA c overage. However, an MA group may bec ome eligible for assistance under the deductible program. The deductible program is a process , which allo ws a c lient with e xcess income to be eligible for MA, if sufficie nt allo wable medical expenses are incurred. Each calen dar month is a separate deductible period.

The fiscal group's monthly exces s income is called the deductible amount. Meeting a deductible means reporting and verifying allo wable medical expenses that equal or exceed the deductible amount for the calendar month. The MA group must report expenses by the last day of the third month following the month it wants medical coverage. BEM Item 545; 42 CFR 435.831.

Policy requires the Department to count and budget all gross inc ome received that is not specifically excluded or all income that can be reasonably anticipated by the fiscal group. There are three main ty pes of income: countable earned, countable unearned, and excluded. BEM Items 500 and 530.

Department policy states:

RETIREMENT, SURVIVORS, AND DISABILITY INSURANCE (RSDI) (AKA SOCIAL SECURITY BENEFITS)

All Programs

RSDI is a vailable to retir ed and disabled persons, their dependents, and survivors of deceased workers.

Count the gross benefit amount as unearned income. BEM, Item 500.

In the instant case, the department determi ned Claimant's net unearned income based upon his receipt of \$ in gross unear ned income in the month of August, 2012. The Administrative Law Judge has reviewed t he record and the exhibits and finds that the fiscal group's net income, after being provided with the most beneficial unearned in net inc ome. Federal Regulations at 42 income deduction of \$ was\$ CFR 435. 831 provide standards for the determination of the Medical Assistance monthly protected inc ome levels. The depart ment, in this case, is in com pliance with the Reference Tables Manual, tables, charts and schedules, table 240-1. Table 240-1 indicates that the claimant's monthly protec ted income level for a person in claimant's fiscal group in claimant's situation for a group of one is \$ which would have him left with \$ in monthly excess inc ome (\$ The department's - \$ determination that Claimant had excess inco me for purposes of Medical Assistanc e eligibility is correct.

This Administrative Law Judge finds t hat the department has established by t he necessary competent, material and substantial evidence on the record that it was acting in compliance with department policy when it determined that Claimant had exces s income for purposes of Medical Assistanc e benefits necessitating a Medicaid spend-down for the time period in question.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he above findings of fact and conclusion s of law, decides that the department properly determined Claimant's MA spend-down.

Accordingly, the department's decisions are **UPHELD**.

It is SO ORDERED.

<u>/s/</u>_____

Vicki L. Armstrong Administrative Law Judge for Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: January 23, 2013

Date Mailed: January 23, 2013

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at t he request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde r a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical erro r, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request

P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

2012-75816/VLA

VLA/las

