## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE **DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

## IN THE MATTER OF:

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-75775 2018 March 6, 2013 Oakland (03)		
	·	Canana (00)		
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer	•			
HEARING DECISION				
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on March 6, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. The Claimant is deceased.				
Participants on behalf of Claimant included Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Assistance Payments Supervisor and Eligibility Specialist.				
On May 14, 2013, the case was reassigned to Administrative Law Judge Jan Leventer for preparation of a decision and order.				
<u>ISSUE</u>				
Did the Department properly $igtigtigthedown$ deny Claimant's application $igthigcdown$ close Claimant's case for:				
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐	State Disability A	sistance (AMP)? assistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?		
FINDINGS OF FACT				

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On February 7, 2012, the Claimant in this case passed away.

2.	Claimant's previous Authorized Representative $\boxtimes$ applied for benefits $\square$ received benefits on the decedent's behalf for:		
	<ul> <li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).</li> <li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).</li> <li>☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA).</li> <li>☐ Child Development and Care (CDC).</li> </ul>		
<ol> <li>On April 19, 2012, the Department</li></ol>			
4.	On April 19, 2012, the Department sent  Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)  notice of the denial. Closure.		
5.	On August 31, 2012, Claimant's former Authorized Representative filed a hearing request, protesting the $\square$ denial of the application. $\square$ closure of the case.		
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW			
Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).			
Se Th Ag	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 0.105.		
ca: Pa	ditionally, the following findings of fact and conclusions of law are entered in this se. The Claimant's date of death is Dept. Hearing Summary tacket, September 10, 2012, p. 19. On February 24, 2012, filed an application on half of Claimant. Dept. Revised Hearing Summary Packet, September 25, 2012, p.		

The Department's Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 110, "Application Filing and Registration," is the Department policy that is applicable in this case. BAM 110 states that when a person dies, any previous authorizations for representation that were created during their lifetime, end. The Department by this policy considers prior authorizations to expire upon death similar to the expiration of other legal designations such as the power of attorney, which expires upon death. Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 110 (2013), pp. 9-10.

Therefore, pursuant to BAM 110, it is found and determined that did not have the authority to file the February 24, 2012, application on behalf of the decedent. authority expired on authority expired on correctly in denying the application. <i>Id.</i>			
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department			
properly denied Claimant's application improperly denied Claimant's application properly closed Claimant's case improperly closed Claimant's case			
for:			
DECISION AND ORDER			
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department   ☐ did not act properly. ☐ did not act properly.			
Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.			
Jan Leventer  Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services			
Date Signed: June 5, 2013			

Date Mailed: June 5, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

## 2012-75775/JL

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JL/cl

