STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County: 201275602 2009, 4031

January 8, 2013 Wayne #31

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: William A. Sundquist

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant 's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on January 8, 2013. Claimant appeared and provided testimony on her behalf. Participants on behal f of the Dep artment of Human Servic es (Department) included

ISSUE

Was medical recovery established?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant's last MA-P/SDA approval was on August 1, 2009.
- 2. On Augus t 30, 2012, the Departm ent of Human Servic es (DHS) terminated the Claimant's MA-P/SDA based on medical recovery per BEM 260/261, with a hearing request on September 7, 2012.
- 3. Claimant was age 60, had a 10 th grade education, and unskilled work history as an adult caretaker and cleaning bleachers in a park.
- 4. Claimant alleges cont inued disability due to a combination of physica I impairment.
- 5. Medical report since Claimant s last MA-P/SDA approval states the Claimant on January 7, 2013, needs help with washing, cooking, bathing, shopping, cleaning, and laundering (Claimant Exhibit 1, Pages 1 and 2).

201275602/WAS

6. State Hearing Review Team (SHRT) decision dated October 26, 2012, states the Claimant's disorders do not meet/equal a Social Security listing (DHS Exhibit A, Page 16).

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The State Disability A ssistance (SDA) program which provides financial ass istance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Service s (DHS or department) admin isters the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department polic ies ar e found in the Bridg es Administrative Manua I (BAM), the Bridges Elig ibility Manual (B EM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estab lished by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by T itle 42 of the C ode of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

Facts above are undisputed.

"Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substant ial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

...Ability to engage in substantial gainful activity. In most instances, we must show that y ou are able to engage in substantial gainful act ivity before your benefits are stopped. When doing this, we will c onsider a II your c urrent impairments not just that impairment(s) present at the time of the most recent favorabl e determination.... 20 CFR 416.994(b)(1)(v).

If we cannot determi ne that you are still disab led based on medical considerations al one..., we will use the new symptoms, signs and laborat ory findings to make an objective assessment of your functional capacity to do basic work activities or residual functional capacity and we will consider your vocational factors.... 20 CFR 416.994(b) (1)(v).

...If medical improvement has occurred, we will co mpare your current functional capac ity to do bas ic work act ivities

(i.e., your residual functional capac ity) based on the previously existing impairment s with your prior residual functional capacity in order to determine whether the medical improvement is related to your ability to do work. The most recent favorable medical dec ision is the latest decision involving a considerat ion of the medical ev idence and the issue of whether you were disabled or continued to be disabled which became final. 20 CFR 416.994(b) (1)(vi).

...Medical improvement. Medical improvement is any decrease in the medical severity of impairment(s) present at the time of the most recent favorable medic al decision that you were disabled or contin ued to be disabled and is determined by a comparison of prior and current medical evidence which mus t show th at there have been c hanges (improvement) in the symptoms, signs or laboratory findings associated with that impairment(s). 20 CFR 416.994(b)(2)(i).

...Determining if medical improvement is related to ability to work. If there is a decrease in medical severity as shown by the symptoms, signs and laboratory findings, we then must determine if it is related to your ability to work.... 2 0 CFR 416.994(b)(2)(ii).

...In determining whether medi cal improvement that has occurred is related to your ability to do work, we will as sess your residual functional capacity (in accordance with paragraph (b)(1)(iv) of this sect ion) based on the c urrent severity of the impair ment(s) which was present at your last favorable medical decision. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(2)(ii).

First group of exceptions to medical improvement. The law provides for certain limited situations when your disability can be found to have end improvement has not occurred, if you can engage in substantial gainful activity. These exceptions to medical improvement are intended to provide a way of finding that a person is no longered isabled in those limited situations where, even though there has been no decrease in severity of the impairment(s), evidence shows that the person should no longer be considered disabled or never should have been considered disabled.... 20 CFR 416.994(b)(3).

...If one of these exceptions applies, we must also show that, taking all your current impairm ent(s) into account, not just those that existed at the time of our most recent favorable medical decision, you are now able to engage in subst antial gainful activity before your di sability can be found to hav e ended. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(3).

Substantial evidence shows that you are the benefic iary of advances in medical or voca tional therapy or technology (related to your abilit y to work). Advances in medic al or vocational therapy or tec hnology are improvements in treatment or rehabilit ative me thods which have increased your ability to do basic work activities. We will apply this exception when s ubstantial evidence shows that you have been the beneficiary of services which reflect these advances and they have favorably affected the severity of your impairment or your ability to do basic work activities.... 20 CFR 416.994(b)(3)(i).

Substantial evidenc e shows that you have undergone vocational therapy (related to your ability to work). Vocational therapy (related to your ability to work) may include, but is not limited to, additional education, training, or work experience that improves your ability to meet the vocational requirements of more jobs. This decision will be based on substantial evidenc e which includes new medical evidence and a new residual functional capacity assessment. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(3)(ii).

Substantial evidence shows t hat based on new or improved diagnostic or evaluative techniques your impairment(s) is not as dis abling as it was considered to be at the time of the most recent favorable decision. Chang ing methodologies and advances in medical and ot her diagnostic or evaluative techniques have giv en, and will continue to give, r ise to improved methods for measuring and documenting the effect of various impairments on the a bility to do work.... 20 CFR 416.994(b)(3)(iii).

...Substantial evidence demonstrates that any prior disability decision was in error. We will apply the exception to medical improvement based on error if substantial evidence (which may be evidence on the record at the time any prior determination of the entitle ment to benefits based on disability was made , or newly obtained evidenc e which relates to that determination) demonstrates that a prior determination was in error. A prior determination will be found in error only if: 20 CFR 416.994(b)(3)(iv).

...Substantial evidence shows on its face that the decision in question should not have been made.... 20 CFR 416.99 4(b)(3) (iv)(A).

...At the time of the prior ev aluation, required and material evidence of the severity of your i mpairment(s) was missing. That evidence bec omes available upon review, and substantial evidence demonstrat es that had such ev idence been present at the time of the prior determination, disability would not have been found. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(3)(iv)(B).

...Substantial evidence which is new evidence which relates to the prior determination (of allowanc e or continuance) refutes the conclusions that were based upon the prior evidence... Substantial evi dence must show that had the new evidence, (which relates to the prior decision, the claim would not have been a llowed or continued. A substitution of current judgment for that used in the prior favorable decision will not be the basis for applying this exception. 20 CF R 416.994(b)(3)(iv)(C).

...You are currently engaging in substantial gainful activity. If you are currently engaging in substantial gainful activity before we determine whether y ou are no longer dis abled because of your work activity, we will consider whether you are entitled to a trial work period as set out in 416.992. We will find that your disability has ended in the month in whic h you demonstrated your ability to engage in subs tantial gainful activity (following comp letion of a trial work period, where it applies). 20 CFR 416.994(b)(3)(v).

Second gr oup of exceptions to medical improvement. In addition to the first group of exceptions to medical improvement, the following exceptions may result in a determination that you are no longer disabled. In thes e situations the decision will be made without a determination that you have medically im proved or can engage in substantial gainful activity. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(4).

...A prior determination was fraudul ently obtained. If we find that any prior favorable determination was obtained by fraud, we may find that you are not disabled. In addition, we may reopen your claim under the rules in 416.1488. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(4)(i).

...You do not cooperate with us. If there is a question about whether you continue to be disa bled and we ask you to giv e us medical or other evidenc e or to go for a physical or mental examination by a certai n date, we will find that your disability h as ende d if you fa il, without go od cause, to d o what we ask. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(4)(ii). ...You fail to follow prescribed treatment which would be expected to restore your ability to engage in subst antial gainful activity. If treatment has been pr escribed f or you which would be expected to rest ore your ability work, you must follow that treatment in order to be paid benefits. If you are not following that treat ment and you do not have good cause for failing to follow that treatment, we will find that your disability has ended.... 20 CFR 416.994(b)(4)(iv).

...To assur e that disability reviews are carried out in a uniform manner, that a decision of continuing disab ility can be made in the most expedi tious and administratively efficient way, and that any decision to stop disability benefits are made objectively, neutrally and are fully documented, we will follow specific steps in reviewing the question of whether your disab ility contin ues. Our review may cease an d benefits may be continued at any point if we determine there is sufficient evidence to fi nd that you are still unable to engage in substantial gainful activity. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(5).

Step 1. Do you have an impai rment or combination of impairments whic h meets or equals the severity of an impairment listed in Appendix 1 of Subpart P of Part 404 of this chapter? If you do, your disability will be found to continue. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(5)(i).

Step 2. If you do not, ha s there been a medical improvement as def ined in pa ragraph (b)(1)(i) of this section? If there has been me dical improvement as s hown by a decrease in medical s everity, see Step 3 in paragraph (b)(5)(iii) of this section. If there has been no decrease in medical severity, there has been no medical improvement. (see Step 4 in paragraph (b)(5)(iv) of this section.) 20 CFR 416.994(b)(5)(ii).

Step 3. If there has been m edical improvement, we must determine whether it is related to your ability to do w ork in accordance with paragraphs (b)(1)(I) through (b)(1)(iv) of this section; i.e., whether of not there has been an increase in the residual functional capac ity based on the impairment(s) that was present at the time of the most recent favorable medical determination. If medical improvement is not related to your ability to do w ork, see Step 5 in paragraph (b)(5)(v) of this section. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(5)(iii).

Step 4. If we found in Step 2 in paragraph (b)(5)(ii) of this section that there has been no m edical improvement or if we found at Step 3 in paragraph (b)(5)(iii) of this section that the

medical im provement is not rela ted to your ability to work, we consider whether any of the exceptions in paragraphs (b)(3) and (b)(40 of this section apply. If none of them apply, your disability will be found to continue. If any of the first group of exceptions to medica l improvement applies, see Step 5 in paragraph (b)(5)(v) of this section. If an exception from the second group of exceptions to medical improvement applies, your disability will b e found to have ended. The second group of exceptions to medical improvement may be considered at any point in this process. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(5)(iv).

Step 5. If medical improvement is shown to be related to your ability to do work or if any of the first group of exceptions to medical im provement applies, we will determine whether all your current impairments in combination are severe (see Sec. 416.921). This determination will consider al I your current impairments and the impact of the combination of these impairments on your ability to function. If t he residual functional c apacity assessment in Step 3 in paragra ph (b)(5)(iii) of this section shows significant limitation to your ability to do basic work activities, see Step 6 in paragraph (b)(5)(iv) of this section. When the evidence shows that all your current impairments in combination do not signific antly limit y our physic al or mental abilities to do basic work activities, these impairments will not be considered severe in nature. If so, you will no longer be considered disabled. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(5)(v).

Step 6. If your impairment(s) is severe, we will asses s your current ability to engage in s ubstantial gainful activity in accordance with 41 6.961. That is, we will ass ess your residual functional capacity based on all your current impairments and consider whether you can still do work that you have done in the past. If you can do such work, disability will b e found to have ended. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(5)(vi).

Step 7. If you are not able to do work you have done in the past, we will cons ider one fina I step. Given the residual functional capacity assessment and c onsidering y our age, education, and past work experience, c an you do other work? If you can, dis ability will be found t o have ended. If you cannot, disability will be found to continue. 20 CF R 416.994(b)(5)(vii).

Step 1 continued eligibility is denied. The medical evidence of record does not establish the Claimant's impairments meet/equal Social Security listing.

At Step 2, the evidence of record does not establish Claimant's medical improvement by a decrease in medic al severity. There is no medical evidenc e introduced addressing any medical improvements. Therefore, sequential is required to continue to Step 4.

At Step 4, the evidence of record does not establish medical improvement relating to Claimant's ability to work, nor do any exceptions in paragraphs 3b and 4b of this section apply.

Claimant testified that she had a stroke five to six years ago leaving her right side weak; that she cannot raise her right arm above shoul der level; that she has chronic pain in left leg, hip, and left shoulder; and that she is unable lift/carry any weight.

The medical reports of record are examination, diagnostic, and treatment reports. They do not provide medic al assess ments of Cla imant's physical limitations relative to her functional capacity to perform basic work ac tivities, as define d above, except for Claimant Exhibit 1 (findings of fact 5). Stated differentially, do the physical impairments impair the Claimant slightly, mildly, moder ately (non-severe impairment, as defined above) or severely, as defined above?

The objective medical evidence of record does not establish the Claimant's RFC to perform basic work activities due to a nonbelow.

severe physical impairment, as defined

Severe/Non-Severe Impairment

... If you do not have any impairment or combination of impairments which significantly limits your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities, we will find that you do not have a severe impairment and are, therefore, not di sabled. We will not consider your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(c).

Non-severe impairment(s). An impairment or combination of impairments is not severe if it does not signific antly limit your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities. 20 CFR 416.921(a).

Basic w ork activities. When we talk about basic wor k activities, we mean the abilities and aptitudes neces sary to do most jobs. Examples of these include:

- (1) Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling;
- (2) Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;

- (3) Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- (4) Use of judgment;
- (5) Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- (6) Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

Therefore, disability is found to continue at Step 4.

Therefore, medical recovery has not been established at Step 4 by the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he above findings of fact and conclusion s of law, decides that medical recovery has not been established.

Accordingly, MA-P/SDA termination is **REVERSED**, and retroactive reinstatement of benefits, is so **ORDERED**.

Medical review suggested in February 2014.

William A Sundquist William A. Sundquist

William A. Sundquist Administrative Law Judge For Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 12, 2013

Date Mailed: February 12, 2013

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may or der a re hearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at t he request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant;
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative Hearings Recons ideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

WAS/tb

