STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

ı	N	П	П			٨	A I	۷.	г	ΓΕ	Р)			•
ı	IV			п	_	- 11	117	•					_	•	_

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-75100 3002 October 29, 2012 Oakland (02)			
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Levente	er				
HEARING DE	CISION				
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on October 29, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant and Authorized Representative, and her aunt, Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Representative, Participants on behalf of the					
<u>ISSUE</u>					
Did the Department properly \square approve Claimant's application at the benefit level of \$16 per month for \square close Claimant's case for:					
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?		sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?			
FINDINGS OF	F FACT				
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:					
 Claimant	d benefits for:				
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).☐ Medical Assistance (MA).	State Disability A	ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).			

2.	On August 15, 2012, the Department ⊠ approved Claimant's application and granted FAP benefits of \$16 per month. □ closed Claimant's case
3.	On August 15, 2012, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the approval. Closure.
4.	On August 31, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the approval of the application at the benefit level of \$16 per month. closure of the case.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	partment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
pro imp Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is oblemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal gulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.
cal	ditionally, at the hearing in this case the Claimant did not dispute the Department's culations of her benefit level. Claimant disputes the amount of the FAP benefit as it oo low to pay for her food expenses.
sta \$1, Re det	e Department's Reference Table (RFT) 260, "Food Assistance Issuance Tables," tes on page 11 that a family group of one person with a net countable income of 195 is entitled to FAP benefits of \$16 per month. Department of Human Services ference Tables (RFT) 260 (2011).; Dept. Exh. 1, p. 15. It is therefore found and termined that the Department acted in accordance with its policy and procedure in a case, in alloting \$16 per month to Claimant through the FAP program
	sed upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons ted on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department
	properly approved Claimant's application improperly denied Claimant's application properly closed Claimant's case improperly closed Claimant's case
for	: ☐ AMP ☐ FIP ☒ FAP ☐ MA ☐ SDA ☐ CDC.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \infty \text{did act properly.}
Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
Jan Leventer Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: October 30, 2012

Date Mailed: October 31, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

2012-75100/JL

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JL/tm

