STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2012-75424 Issue No.: 2012 Case No.: December 6, 2012 Hearing Date: Oakland (63-02) County:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Robert J. Chavez

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on December 6, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included . Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

ISSUE

Due to excess assets, did the Department properly \boxtimes deny the Claimant's application close Claimant's case for:

| \ge | $\left(\right)$ |
|-------|------------------|

Family Independence Program (FIP)?

Medical Assistance (MA)?

Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

| Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? |
|------------------------------------|
| State Disability Assistance (SDA)? |

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including the testimony at the hearing, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant 🖂 applied for benefits 🗌 received benefits for:



Family Independence Program (FIP). Medical Assistance (MA).

Food Assistance Program (FAP)

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP). State Disability Assistance (SDA).

2. Due to excess assets, on August 23, 2012, the Department denied Claimant's application. Closed Claimant's case. 3. On August 23, 2012, the Department sent

| \boxtimes | Cla | lim | ant |
|-------------|------|-----|-----|
| no | tice | of | the |

 \Box Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) \Box denial. \Box closure.

4. On August 29, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ⊠ denial of the application. □ closure of the case.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*.

☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.

Additionally, Claimant admitted that his assets at the time of application were \$3,777.41 and did not dispute the Department's findings in the case. As the MA asset limit is \$3,000 for a group the size of the Claimant's, and Claimant's assets exceeded this limit, the Department properly denied Claimant's MA application. While Claimant's assets have since fallen below this level, the undersigned can only consider the facts as they were at the time of the initial decision. If Claimant believes that she now meets asset eligibility levels, Claimant may reapply for the MA program.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess assets, the Department

properly denied Claimant's application
properly closed Claimant's case
improperly closed Claimant's case

for: \square AMP \square FIP \boxtimes MA \square SDA \square (FAP).

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \square did act properly. \square did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \boxtimes MA \square SDA \square (FAP)decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

Robert J. Chavez Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: January 3, 2013

Date Mailed: January 3, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

2012-75424/RJC

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

RJC/pf

