# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

### IN THE MATTER OF:



 Reg. No.:
 201275223

 Issue No.:
 2009

 Case No.:
 Image: County:

 Hearing Date:
 December 12, 2012

 County:
 St. Clair

#### ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: William A. Sundquist

# **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant 's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on December 12, 2012. Claim ant appeared and provided testimony on her behalf. Participants on behal f of the Dep artment of Human Servic es (Department) included

## ISSUE

Was disability, as defined below, medically established?

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant's MA-P applic ation on June 21, 2012, was denied on August 24, 2012 per BEM 260, with a hearing request on August 30, 2012
- Claimant was age 42, wit h a GED, and work experie nce as a semi-skilled red cross and state Certified Nurs es As sistant (CNA), and unskilled security guard.
- 3. Claimant's is currently working part-time as a CNA, 3 days a week for a total of 24 hours.
- 4. Claimant alleges disability due to medically diagnosed dis orders of neuropathy, low back DD, and diabetes.

### 201275223/WAS

- 5. Medical reports of exams state the Claimant on:
  - a. January 19, 2012, has a **normal** range of motion of the back; that extremities are **normal**; that joi nts has a **normal** range of motion (DHS Exhibit A, Page 28).
  - b. February 24, 2011, has **mild** degenerative osteoarthritis changes in bilaterally wrists joints; and that she has no definite acute fracture noted (DHS Exhibit A, Page 79).
  - c. July 22, 2011, her lumbar di sc herniation at L3- L4 and L4-L5; t hat she remains completely disabled (DHS Exhibit A, Page 187).
  - d. September 23, 2011, her right shoulder shows full range of motion, that right knee shows full range of motion; that she lumbar disc herniation at L3-L4 and L4-L5; that right shoulder sprain has improvement; that right knee m eniscus tear with improvement (DHS Exhibit A, Page 188).
  - e. December 16, 2011, has lumber disc herniation at L3-L4 and L4-L5; that she will return to work with restrictions and continue her anti-inflammatory medications (DHS Exhibit A, Page 185).
  - f. March 2, 2012, can never lift any weight, squat, crawl, kneel, pushing/pulling and climbing; that she can s ometimes continuously up to 2 hours or occasionally up to 6 hours sit, stand, walk, grasping right side, grasping left si de, and stair climbing; and that she can frequently c ontinuously up to 8 hours with breaks reach over shoulder (DHS Exhibit A, Page 128).
  - g. March 23, 2012, has lumbar disc herniation at L3-L4; t hat she will return to work with restrictions if a job is available; that she will continue on her pain and ant i-inflammatory medications (DHS Exhibit A. Page 184).
  - h. May 21, 2012, has deteriorating condition (DHS Exhibit A, Page 9).
- 6. State Hearing Review Team (SHRT) decision dated September 26, 2012, states the Claimant's disorders do not meet/equal a Social Security listing (DHS Exhibit A, Page 48).

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by T itle 42 of the C ode of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

Facts above are undisputed.

"Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substant ial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

...We follow a set order to determine whether y ou are disabled. We review any current work activity, the severity of your impairment(s), your resi dual functional capacity, your past work, and your age, educati on and work experience. If we can find that you are disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, we do not review your cl aim further. ...20 CFR 416.912(a).

When determining disability, the federal regulations are used as a guideline and require that several considerations be analyzed in sequentia I order. If dis ability can be ruled out at any step, analysis of the next step is <u>not</u> required. These steps are:

- 1. Does the client perf orm S ubstantial Gainful Activity (SGA)? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 2. 20 CFR 416.920(b).
- 2. Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in death? If no, the cli ent is ineligible for MA. If yes, the analysis c ontinues to Step 3. 20 CF R 416.920(c).
- 3. Does the impairment appear on a spec ial listing of impairments or are the cli ent's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least eq uivalent in s everity to the set of medical findings specified for the listed impairment? If no, the analys is continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.290(d).
- 4. Can the client do the former work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client

is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 5. 20 CFR 416.920(e).

 Does the client have the Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Sections 200. 00-204.00? If yes, the analysis ends and the client is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(f).

Step 1 dis ability is not denied. The evidence of record establishes the Claimant has been engaged in part-time work 3 days a week for a total of 24 hours as a CNA.

Step 2, dis ability is not denied. The objec tive medical evidence of record, on date of application, establishes based on the de mi nimus standard, the Cla imant's significant functional physical incapacity to do basic work activities for the required one year continuous duration, as defined below.

#### Severe/Non-Severe Impairment

...If you do not have any impairment or combination of impairments which significantly limits your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities, we will find that you do not have a severe impairment and are, therefore, not di sabled. We will not consider your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(c).

**Non-severe impairment(s)**. An impairment or combination of impairments is not severe if it does not signific antly limit your physical or mental ability to do bas ic work activities. 20 CFR 416.921(a).

**Basic w ork activities.** When we talk about basic work activities, we mean the abilities and aptitudes neces sary to do most jobs. Examples of these include:

- 1. Physical functions such as walk ing, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling;
- 2. Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- 3. Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- 4. Use of judgment;

- 5. Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- 6. Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

The burden of proof is on the Claimant to establis h disa bility based on the 5 step process above. ...20 CFR 416.912(a).

Claimant testified that she is currently employed in an adult care facility as a CNA wit h restrictions 3 days a week for 24 hours; that she has restrictions on bending and lifting; that can lift/carry 2 gallons of milk; that her disabling condition is due to chronic pain in low back and legs; that medication relieves her pain; that medical disorders of neuropathy, low back DDD a nd diabetes in combination limited her to her current part-time work.

...Statements about your pain or other symptoms will not alone establish that you are disabled; there must be medical signs and laboratory findings which s how that you have a medical impairment.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

Therefore, the Claimant has sustained her burden of proof to establish a severe physical impairment, instead of a non-sever e impairment, for the required dur ation, and the sequential evaluation is required to continue.

Step 3 dis ability is denied. T he objective medical evidence of re cord, for the required duration, does not establis h the Claimant's impairm ents m eet/equal Social Sec urity listed impairment, therefore, the analysis will continue.

At Step 4 disab ility is denied. The medical evidence of record, on date of application, does not establish the Claimant's functi onal physical incapacity, despite her impairments, to perform any of her past work, such as a walking s ecurity guard, for the required one year continuous duration.

Substantially gainful activity is work activity that involves do ing sign ificant physical activities. Your work may be substantial even if it is done on a **part-time** basis or if you do less, get paid less or have les s responsibility than when you work before. 20 CFR 416.972(a).

The medical evidence of record states a c onclusion that the Claimant is limited to no bending and no heavy lifting/c arrying (capacity for 2 gallons of milk ac cording to Claimant).

...A statement by a medical source that you are "disabled" or "unable to work" does not mean t hat we will determine that you are disabled. 20 CFR 416.927(e).

Therefore, not much evidentiary weight is given to the medical conclusion.

In the Claimant's past job as a security guard, she testified that the job required her to walk and patrol the grounds for unwanted individ uals outside of her employers building. The Claimant introduced no medical ev idence of record that she was restricted for this type of past work.

Therefore, the analysis is required to stop.

If disability had not been den ied at Step 4, it would also be d enied at Step 5. The medical evidence of record, on date of applic ation, does not establish the Claimant was without a RFC, despite her im pairments, to perform any ot her work in the National Economy, for the required one year continuous duration.

At Step 5, the burden of proof shifts to the Department to establish that the Claimant does has a RFC.

The RFC is what an individual can do de spite limitations. All impairments will be considered in addition to ab ility to meet certain d emands of jobs in the Nation al Economy. Physical demands, mental demands, sensory requirements and other functions will be evaluated. 20 CFR 416.945(a).

...To determine the physical exertion requirements of work in the national economy, we classify jobs as sedentary, light, medium, heavy, and very heavy. Thes eterms have the same meaning as they have in the Dictionary of <u>Occupational Titles</u>, published by the Department of Labor.... 20 CFR 416.967.

**Sedentary work**. Sedentary work involves lifting no more than 10 pounds at a time and occa sionally lifting or carrying articles like docket files, ledgers, and small tools. Although a sedentary job is defined as one which in volves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if wa lking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. 20 CFR 416.967(a).

Under the Medical-Vocational guidelines, Ru le 201.27, a younger individuals, age 42, with a high school equivalent (GED) educati on, and an unskilled work history who is limited to sedentary work is not considered disabled.

Therefore, medical disabilit y has not been established at Steps 3, 4 and 5 by the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he above findings of fact and conclusion s of law, decides that disability was not medically established.

Accordingly, MA-P denial is **UPHELD**.

William A Sundquest

William A! Sundquist Administrative Law Judge For Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 9, 2013

Date Mailed: April 9, 2013

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may or der a re hearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at t he request of a party wit hin 30 days of the ma iling date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde r a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant;
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

### 201275223/WAS

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative Hearings Recons ideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

#### WAS/tb

