STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN	J TH		BA A	\ T	CCI	0	^		
III		16	IVI	۱ı		К (u	-	Ī

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-75003 3002 October 29, 2012 Oakland (02)					
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer							
HEARING DECISION							
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on October 29, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant and his son and interpreter, sic). Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included. Eligibility Specialist.							
<u>ISSUE</u>							
Did the Department properly ⊠ approve Claimant's application at the benefit level of \$172 per month for: □ close Claimant's case for:							
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? State Disability Assistance (SDA)? Child Development and Care (CDC						
FINDINGS OF FACT							
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:							
 Claimant							
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).☑ Food Assistance Program (FAP).☐ Medical Assistance (MA).	State Disability A	ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).					

2.	On August 2, 2012, the Department ☑ approved Claimant's application at the benefit level of \$172 per month. ☐ closed Claimant's case			
3.	On August 2, 2012, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the approval. Closure.			
4.	On August 31, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ approval of benefits at the level of \$172 per month. ☐ closure of the case.			
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW			
	partment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).			
The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.				
Cla ord cus exc	ditionally, the following findings of fact and conclusions of law are made in this case. Aimant's income is \$698 per month. Claimant's family group size is one person. In der to calculate a food assistance allotment, the Department first reduces the stomer's income by a standard deduction of \$146, and, Claimant is entitled to an cess shelter deduction of \$474. Claimant's countable net income for FAP purposes is culated to be \$92 per month. Dept. Exh. 1, pp. 8-9.			
a t per hor Ac pol	e Department's Reference Tables (RFT) 260, "Food Assistance Issuance Tables," is hirty-six-page policy listing FAP benefit amounts for family groups from one to eight rsons and from net incomes from \$0-\$4,003. Page 1 lists the benefit level for a usehold group of one person with a net income of \$92; the FAP allotment is \$172. cordingly, it is found and determined that the Department acted in accordance with licy and shall be affirmed in this case. Department of Human Services Reference bles (RFT) 260 (2011), p. 1.			
	sed upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons ted on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department			
	properly approved Claimant's application improperly denied Claimant's application properly closed Claimant's case improperly closed Claimant's case			

for: \square AMP \square FIP \boxtimes FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC.	
DECISION AND ORDE	<u>ER</u>
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds ⊠ did act properly. ☐ did not act properly.	•
Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \boxtimes FAP is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons state	
	Jan Coentr
	Jan Leventer Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services
Date Signed: October 30, 2012	Department of Flaman Convices
Date Mailed: October 31, 2012	

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

2012-75003/JL

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

