STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg No.: 2012-73728 Issue No.: 2009 Case No.: Hearing Date: November 21, 2012 Macomb County DHS (12)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Colleen M. Mamelka

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge upon the Claimant's request for a hearing made pursuant to Michigan Compiled Laws 400.9 and 400.37, which govern the administrative hearing and appeal process. After due notice, a hearing was held in Clinton Township, Michigan on Wednesday, November 21, 2012. The Claimant appeared and testified. The Claimant was represented by Participating on behalf of the Department of Human Services ("Department") was

During the hearing, the Claimant waived the time period for the issuance of this decision in order to allow for the submission of additional medical records. The evidence was received and forwarded to the State Hearing Review Team ("SHRT") for consideration. On January 22, 2012, this office received the SHRT determination which approved the Claimant for Medical Assistance based on disability ("MA-P") effective January 2011 based on a favorable determination by the Social Security Administration ("SSA").

<u>ISSUE</u>

Whether the Department properly determined that the Claimant was not disabled for purposes of the Medical Assistance ("MA-P") benefit program?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. The Claimant submitted an application for public assistance seeking MA-P benefits, retroactive to January 2011, on April 27, 2011.

2012-73728/CMM

- 2. On November 17, 2011 the Medical Review Team ("MRT") found the Claimant not disabled.
- 3. The Department notified the Claimant of the MRT determination.
- 4. On February 16, 2012, the Department received the Claimant's timely written request for hearing.
- 5. On September 28, 2012, the SHRT found the Claimant not disabled.
- 6. Subsequently, the SSA found the Claimant disabled.
- 7. On January 17, 2013, the SHRT found the Claimant disabled effective January 2011 based on the favorable SSA determination.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance program is established by Subchapter XIX of Chapter 7 of The Public Health & Welfare Act, 42 USC 1397, and is administered by the Department of Human Services, formerly known as the Family Independence Agency, pursuant to MCL 400.10 *et seq* and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual ("BAM"), the Bridges Eligibility Manual ("BEM"), and the Bridges Reference Tables ("RFT").

A previously denied MA application is treated as a pending application when MRT determined the Claimant was not disabled and subsequently, the SSA determines that the Claimant is entitled to Retirement, Survivors, and Disability ("RSDI") based on his disability/blindness for some, or all, of the time covered by the denied MA application. BEM 260 (October 2011), p.1. All eligibility factors must be met for each month MA is authorized. BEM 260, p. 2. To be automatically eligible for MA, a Supplemental Security Income ("SSI") recipient must be a Michigan resident and cooperate with third-party resource liability requirements. BEM 150 (June 2011), p. 1.

In this case, the SSA approved the Claimant for social security benefits which covers the dates requested on the Claimant's MA-P application. Based on the favorable SSA determination, it is not necessary for the Administrative Law Judge to discuss the issue of disability pursuant to BEM 260; BEM 150.

The State Disability Assistance program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, was established by 2004 PA 344. The Department administers the SDA program purusant to MCL 400.10 *et seq.* and Mich Admin Code, Rules 400.3151 – 400.3180. Department policies are found in BAM, BEM, and RFT. A person is considered disabled for SDA purposes if the person has a physical or mental

2012-73728/CMM

impariment which meets federal SSI disability standards for at least ninety days. Receipt of SSI benefits based on disability or blindness, or the receipt of MA benefits based on disability or blindness automatically qualifies an individual as disabled for purposes of the SDA program.

In this case, the Claimant is found disabled for purposes of the MA-P program; therefore, he is found disabled for purposes of SDA benefit program.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law finds that the Claimant meets the definition of medically disabled for purposes of the MA-P and SDA benefit programs.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED:

- 1. The Department's determination that the Claimant is not disabled is not upheld.
- 2. The Department shall initiate processing of the Claimant's April 27, 2011 application for SDA and MA-P benefits, retroactive to January 2011, to determine if all other non-medical criteria are met pursuant to Department policy based on the SHRT determination.
- 3. The Department shall notify the Claimant of the determination in accordance with Department policy.
- 4. The Department shall supplement for lost benefits (if any) that the Claimant was entitled to receive if otherwise eligible and qualified in accordance with Department policy.

Collein M. Mamilka

Colleen M. Mamelka Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: January 30, 2013

Date Mailed: January 30, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CMM/tm

