STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



 Reg. No.:
 201273598

 Issue No.:
 3008

 Case No.:
 Issue

 Hearing Date:
 October 3, 2012

 County:
 Wayne (19)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Alice C. Elkin

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on October 3, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included **Claimant**, Family Independence Manager, and , Eligibility Specialist.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department properly deny Claimant's application 🛛 close Claimant's case for:

	\times	
[

Family Independence Program (FIP)?

Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

Medical Assistance (MA)?

Direct Support Services (DSS)?

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?

State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

Child Development and Care (CDC)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant applied for benefits received benefits for:
 - Family Independence Program (FIP).

Food Assistance Program (FAP).

Medical Assistance (MA).

Direct Support Services (DSS).

- Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).
- State Disability Assistance (SDA).

Child Development and Care (CDC).

- On July 31, 2012, the Department
 denied Claimant's application
 due to failure to provide requested verifications.
- 3. The Department was unable to verify whether a Notice of Case Action was sent to Claimant informing her of the closure of her FAP case.
- 4. On August 24, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the \Box denial of the application. \boxtimes closure of the case.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 through R 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

☐ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 through R 400.3015.

☐ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*.

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3151 through R 400.3180.

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, R 400.5001 through R 400.5015.

Direct Support Services (DSS) is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.57a, et. seq., and Mich Admin Code R 400.3603.

Additionally, in connection with a FAP redetermination, the Department sent Claimant a Verification Checklist (VCL) on July 31, 2012, requesting "updated unearned income at redetermination." When it did not receive any response to the VCL by the August 10, 2012, due date, the Department certified the closure of Claimant's FAP case as of July 31, 2012.

At the hearing, Claimant testified that she did not respond to the VCL but explained that she had given the Department all the information it had requested with her redetermination and did not understand what further information it needed. In her redetermination, Claimant stated that she received monthly child support and \$410 from her ex-husband to assist with rent. Claimant testified, and the Department confirmed, that Claimant had included documents concerning the child support she received and a copy of a check from her ex-husband in the amount of \$410 with her redetermination. At the hearing, the Department testified that it was initially unsure what updated unearned income was requested, but after looking into its system determined that verification of monthly income Claimant received from her ex-husband signed by the exhusband was required.

The Department must tell the client what verification is required, how to obtain it, and the due date. BAM 130 (May 1, 2012), pp 2-3. The VCL sent to Claimant, which required "updated unearned income at redetermination," did not clearly identify what income Claimant needed to verify or what documentation she needed to provide to verify this income. On the other hand, the redetermination states that clients must provide proof of all income received by the household and states that examples of proof include copies of check stubs. The copy of the check from her ex-husband that Claimant had provided with her redetermination was responsive to the proof requested by the redetermination. Because the VCL did not identify what further information was required, the Department did not act in accordance with Department policy when it closed Claimant's FAP case for failure to provide verifications.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department

properly denied Claimant's application	improperly denied Claimant's application
properly closed Claimant's case	🖂 improperly closed Claimant's case

for: \square AMP \square FIP \square FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC \square DSS.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department i did act properly. i did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP K FAP AA SDA CDC DSS decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Reinstate Claimant's FAP case as of August 1, 2012;
- 2. Begin reprocessing Claimant's redetermination, including requesting verifications from Claimant in accordance with Department policy and consistent with this Hearing Decision;
- 3. Issue supplements to Claimant for any FAP benefits she was eligible to receive but did not from August 1, 2012, ongoing; and
- 4. Notify Claimant in writing of its decision in accordance with Department policy.

Alice C. Elkin Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 10/12/2012

Date Mailed: <u>10/12/2012</u>

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

• A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.

- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

ACE/hw

