## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

|    | TIL | A A | TTC | $\mathbf{n}$ | <b>~</b> E. |
|----|-----|-----|-----|--------------|-------------|
| IN | ΙН  | MΑ  | TTE | ĸ            | JF:         |

|  | Reg. No.:<br>Issue No.:<br>Case No.:<br>Hearing Date:<br>County:         | 2012-73098<br>3008<br>September 27, 2012<br>Wayne (82-43)    |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. B   | urke   |  |  |  |  |
| HEARING DE   | CISION   |  |  |  |  |
| This matter is before the undersigned Administrand MCL 400.37 following Claimant's requestelephone hearing was held on Septemb Participants on behalf of Claimant included Department of Human Services (Department) | est for a hearing.<br>er 27, 2012, from<br>Claimant. P <u>articipa</u> i | After due notice, a Detroit, Michigan.                       |  |  |  |
| ISSUE  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Did the Department properly ☐ deny Claiman ☐ calculate Claimant's benefits for:  | nt's application 🔲 cl  | ose Claimant's case  |  |  |  |
| ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?   |  | sistance (AMP)?<br>ssistance (SDA)?<br>ent and Care (CDC)?   |  |  |  |
| FINDINGS O   | F FACT   |  |  |  |  |
| The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claimant    □ applied for benefits    □ received   | d benefits for:  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).</li> <li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).</li> <li>☐ Medical Assistance (MA).</li> </ul>   | State Disability   | ssistance (AMP).<br>Assistance (SDA).<br>ent and Care (CDC). |  |  |  |

| 2.                    | On August 17, 2012, the Department   |
|-----------------------|--|
| 3.                    | On August 17, 2012, the Department sent  Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)  notice of the denial. Closure. Calculation.   |
| 4.                    | On August 22, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case. ☒ calculation.  |
|                       | CONCLUSIONS OF LAW   |
|                       | epartment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).   |
| Re<br>42<br>Ag<br>thr | The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal sponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996. |
| pro<br>im<br>Re<br>Ag | The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.               |
| Se<br>Th<br>Ag        | The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and MCL 0.105.   |
|                       | The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.   |
| for<br>Se<br>pro      | The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human rvices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through ile 400.3180.  |

| ☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015. |
|--|
| In the present case, the Department alleges that it decreased Claimant's FAP benefits due to failure to cooperate with regard to child support issues. However, the Department representative stated at the hearing that the Department was unable to obtain information from the Office of Child Support with respect to the issues. In addition, despite repeated attempts, the Department was not able to obtain the presence of the Office of Child Support for the hearing.         |
| Without proof of Claimant not cooperating with respect to child support, it is concluded that the Department was not correct in decreasing Claimant's FAP benefits.  |
| Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department   |
| <ul> <li>□ properly denied Claimant's application</li> <li>□ properly closed Claimant's case</li> <li>□ properly calculated Claimant's benefits</li> <li>□ improperly denied Claimant's application</li> <li>□ improperly closed Claimant's case</li> <li>□ improperly closed Claimant's case</li> <li>□ improperly closed Claimant's benefits</li> </ul>  |
| for:   |
| DECISION AND ORDER   |
| The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department   did act properly.   did not act properly.  |
| Accordingly, the Department's $\square$ AMP $\square$ FIP $\boxtimes$ FAP $\square$ MA $\square$ SDA $\square$ CDC decision is $\square$ AFFIRMED $\boxtimes$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.   |
| $\boxtimes$ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:  |
| Initiate removal of the child support sanction from Claimant's FAP case, engaging the Office of Child Support, if necessary.   |

- engaging the Office of Child Support, if necessary.Recalculate Claimant's FAP benefits effective September 1, 2012, and ongoing, without the imposition of the child support sanction.

3. Issue FAP supplements in accordance with Department policy.

Susan C. Burke

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Jusa C. Buche

Date Signed: October 4, 2012

Date Mailed: October 4, 2012

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
  of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## SCB/pf

