STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



 Reg. No.:
 2012-73018

 Issue No.:
 6019

 Case No.:
 Issue No.:

 Hearing Date:
 April 8, 2013

 County:
 Wayne 76

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on April 8, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

On May 14, 2013, the case was reassigned to Administrative Law Judge Jan Leventer for preparation of a decision and order.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Due to excess income, did the Department properly \Box deny the Claimant's application \Box close Claimant's case \boxtimes reduce Claimant's benefits for:

r.	_	_	_	
L				
Г	-	-	٦	
L				

Family Independence Program (FIP)?

Food Assistance Program (FAP)?Medical Assistance (MA)?

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?

State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

 \boxtimes Child Development and Care (CDC)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1.	Claimant	applied for benefits for:	\boxtimes received benefits for:	



Family Independence Program (FIP). Food Assistance Program (FAP). Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).

State Disability Assistance (SDA).

Medical Assistance (MA).

 \boxtimes Child Development and Care (CDC).

2012-73018/JL

- 2. On October 1, 2012, the Department denied Claimant's application
 Closed Claimant's case reduced Claimant's benefits due to excess income.
- On August 21, 2012, the Department sent
 □ Claimant
 □ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)
 notice of the
 □ denial.
 □ closure.
 □ reduction.
- 4. On August 28, 2012, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting the
 ☐ denial of the application.
 ☐ closure of the case.
 ☑ reduction of benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

Additionally, the following findings of fact and conclusions of law are entered in this case. In July, 2012, the Department reviewed Claimant's case and found that her income increased in July from \$1,505 to \$1,720 per month. Dept. Exh. 3.

In addition to her earned income, Claimant receives Supplemental Security Income (SSI) for her daughter Mariah Bush, \$712 per month. Claimant 's family consists of herself and her three children. Hearing Summary, August 30, 2012.

It is found and determined that Claimant's countable monthly income therefore is \$2,432. Dept. Exh. 4, p. 1. Going next to the Department chart which contains the income limits, it is found that for a family of three persons, the income limit is \$1,990, and the income limit for a family of four persons is \$2,367. Department of Human Services Reference Table (RFT) 270 (2011).

Having considered all of the above evidence, it is found and determined that Claimant's monthly income of \$2,432 is higher than the income limits for a family of either three or four persons, thus qualifying her to receive CDC only for Mariah Bush who is qualified based on her status as an SSI recipient.

Having considered all of the evidence in this case taken as a whole, it is found and determined that the Department acted correctly in this case and shall be affirmed.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess income, the Department

____ denied Claimant's application

 \boxtimes reduced Claimant's benefits

closed Claimant's case

for: \square AMP \square FIP \square FAP \square MA \square SDA \boxtimes CDC.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \square did act properly \square did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \square FAP \square MA \square SDA \boxtimes CDC decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

'এক

Jan Leventer Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 5, 2013

Date Mailed: June 5, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- ٠
 - A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JL/tm

CC:	