STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2012 72844 Issue No.: 2000 Case No.: December 10, 2012 Hearing Date: Oakland (02) County:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request fo r a hearing. After due notice, an in person hearing was held on De cember 20, 2012, from Madison Heights, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the claimant did not appear. Participants on b ehalf of the Department of Human Servic es (Department) included Assistance Payments Supervisor.

ISSUE

Did the Departm ent properly \bigotimes deny Claiman t's application \bigcap close Claimant's case for:



Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

Medical Assistance (MA)? RETRO

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? State Disability Assistance (SDA)? Child Development and Care (CDC)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Cla imant \boxtimes applied for benefits \square received benefits for:



Family Independence Program (FIP).

Food Assistance Program (FAP).

- Adult Medical Assistance (AMP). State Disability Assistance (SDA).
- Child Development and Care (CDC).

Medical Assistance (MA).and retro

- 2. The Claimant applied for Medical Assistance on May 16, 2011. Exhibit 6
- 3. At the time of the 5/ 16/12 application the Claimant did not ha ve an Authorized Hearing Representative.
- 4. The department approved the Claimant's application effective May 1, 2011.
- 5. The Department did not receive a retro acti ve medical assistance application for the May 16, 2012 application.
- 6. On June 20, 2011 Claim ant appointed as her Authorized Hearing Representative.
- 7. On March 7, 2012 the Claimant's AHR submitted a retroactive medical request dated requesting retroactive medical assistance for April 2011. Exhibit 3...
- 8. The Department denied Claimant's AHR 3/7/12 application for retro active medical assistance. The Claimant's AHR received the denial on 5/2/12. Exhibits 5 and 1.

closed Claimant's case

9. On 8/16/12, Claimant Aut horized Hearing Representative filed a hearing re quest, protesting the

 \boxtimes denial of the application for retro medical assistance. \square closure of the case.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Bri dges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq*. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

☐ The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [for merly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR).

The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independ ence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and MC L 400.105.

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*.

The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial ass istance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The D epartment of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and 20 00 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Ch ild Care and Developm ent Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Depart ment provides servic es to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, in this case the Claim ant orginally, at the time of her application dated May 16, 2011, was not represented by an Authorized Hearing Representative. The Claimant did not appoint an AHR until June 20, 2012. Exhibit 2. As such the only p erson who could request a hearing regar ding whether the Department took proper action with regard to that application was the Claimant . As regards the application, the Claimant's AHR has no standing to request a hearing with regard to the May 16, 2011 application.

In this case the Claimant's AHR filed a retro application on M arch 7, 2012 which was denied because the application date did not cover the retro period for April 1, 2011 and thus correctly denied the app lication. The Claimant 's AHR requested a hearing on August 16, 2012 regarding this denial. It is noted that the AHR received the application on May 2, 2012 but did not request a hearing until August 16, 2012 and thus its request for hearing regarding the denial of the application was not timely. Exhibit 1. The claimant's AHR by let ter dated August 16, 2012 indicat es that it received the denial on May 2, 2012 but did not reque st a hearing until Au gust 16, 2012. On its face the hearing request is untimely as it was not file d within 90 days of ev en the date of reciept of the denial. Mich igan Department of Hum an Services Bridges Administrative Manual, (BAM) 600 (October 2012.).

Based upon the record presented includi documentary evidenc e presented, I find t Claimant's March 7, 2012 retroactive medical assistance application seeking benefits for the month of April 2011 as the application could not properly cover April 2011 as it could only be retoactive 3 months from March 7, 2012. Additionally, I find that the Claimant's AHR has no basis t o request a hearing r egarding the original applic ation filed by the Claimant as regards any issu e regarding whether that application requested retroactive medical assistance as they were not th application.

e Claimatmnt's AHR with regard to tha t

I also find that by its own admis sion the Claimant's request for hearing regarding the denial of the March 7, 2012 application was not timely.

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Co nclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department

properly denied Claimant 's 3/7/12application
improperly d enied Claimant's application for retroactive medical assistance
properly closed Claimant's case
improperly closed Claimant's case

for: \square AMP \square FIP \square FAP \boxtimes MA retro \square SDA \square CDC.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \square did act properly. \square did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \square FAP \boxtimes MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

Lynn M. Ferris` Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: January 10, 2013

Date Mailed: January 10, 2013

LMF/cl

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

- Re consideration/Rehearing Request
 - P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

LMF/cl

