## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

INI	ти	_ ^ ^	TTE	R OF:
114				K UF

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	201272529 3008 September 26, 2012 Wayne County DHS (17)		
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris	<b>3</b>			
HEARING DECI	SION			
This matter is before the undersigned Administration and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request telephone hearing was held on September Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Compartment of Human Services (Department) included	for a hearing. 26, 2012, from Claimant. Particip	After due notice, a Detroit, Michigan.		
<u>ISSUE</u>				
Due to a failure to comply with the verification properly $\square$ deny Claimant's application $\boxtimes$ close benefits for:				
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	•	assistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?		
FINDINGS OF FACT				
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:				
Claimant ☐ applied for ☒ was receiving: ☐F	FIP SFAP MA	□SDA □CDC.		
2. Claimant was required to submit requested ve	rification by 5/24/1	2.		
<ol> <li>On 6/1/12, the Department         ☐ denied Claimant's application.         ☐ closed Claimant's case.</li> </ol>				

## 201272529/LMF

	reduced Claimant's benefits.
4.	On 6/1/12, the Department sent notice of the denial of Claimant's application.  closure of Claimant's case. reduction of Claimant's benefits.
5.	On August 7, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the denial of claimant's application.  closure of Claimant's case. reduction of Claimant's benefits.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges gibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Re 42 Ag 31	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Jency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 400.3101-31. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective ctober 1, 1996.
pro im Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence pency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 0.3001-3015
Se Th	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the Aprogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
for as	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 0.10, et seq., and 1998-2000 AACS R 400.3151-400.3180.
an 19	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE d XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 90, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996.

and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.

Additionally, in this case the Department, upon discovering that the Claimant had signed the drop off log which confirmed that the Claimant's redetermination forms were provided to the Department, attempted to rectify the situation. On two occasions in August 2012, the Department set appointments to meet with the Claimant and reinstate the Claimant's food assistance case. The Claimant was unable to keep either appointment, so his case remained closed. Based upon the evidence presented it is determined that the Department took steps to rectify the improper closure of the Claimant's case but could not do so, as the Claimant did not cooperate. Thus, it is determined that the Department properly closed the Claimant's food assistance case. Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 130, (2011).

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly improperly
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \int \text{did act properly}  \text{did not act properly}.
Accordingly, the Department's decision is $\boxtimes$ AFFIRMED $\square$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
☐ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
La M. Senis
Lynn M. Ferris
Administrative Law Judge

Date Signed: <u>10/4/2012</u>

Date Mailed: 10/4/2012

for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
  of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## LMF/hw

CC:

