#### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2012 72401 Issue No.: 4003,2006 Case No.: December 3, 2012 Hearing Date: County: Wayne County DHS (18)

### ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris

# **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administ rative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on December 3, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant and who also appeared as a witness on behalf of the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included , Medical Contact Worker.

#### ISSUE

rification requirements, did the Department Due to a failure to comply with the ve properly  $\boxtimes$  deny Claimant's application  $\square$  close Claimant's case  $\square$  reduce Claimant's benefits for:

i	$\sim$	i

Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)? (Disability)

State Disability Assistance (SDA)? Child Development and Care (CDC)?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:

1. Cla imant  $\boxtimes$  applied for  $\square$  was receiving:  $\square$  FIP  $\square$  FAP  $\boxtimes$  MA  $\boxtimes$  SDA  $\square$  CDC.

- 2. Claimant was required to submit requested verification by date of verification unknown but appointment notice was for appointment on July 20, 2012. (Exhibit 1).
- 3. On June 1, 2012 MA-P and July 16, 2012 SDA , the Department
  - $\boxtimes$  denied Claimant's application.
  - closed Claimant's case.
  - reduced Claimant's benefits.
- 4. On August 13, 2012, the Department sent notice of the
  - denial of Claimant's application.
  - closure of Claimant's case.
  - reduction of Claimant's benefits.
- 5. On August 17, 2012 and August 30, protesting the
  - $\boxtimes$  denial of claimant's application.
  - closure of Claimant's case.
  - reduction of Claimant's benefits.

# CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

☐ The Family Independence Progr am (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq*. The Department (formerly know n as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and 1997 AACS R 400.3101-3131. FI P replac ed the Aid to Depe ndent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) program] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is implemented by the federal r egulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independenc e Agency) administers FAP pur suant to MCL 400. 10, *et seq* ., and 1997 AACS R 400.3001-3015

The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) progr am which provides financial as sistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Depart ment (formerly known

2012, Claim ant filed a hearing request,

as the F amily Independence Agency) admini sters the SDA program pursuant to M CL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1998-2000 AACS R 400.3151-400.3180.

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Ch ild Care and Developm ent Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. T he Department provides servic es to adult s and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.

Additionally, the uncontrov erted credible testimony of the Claimant and Claimant's witness was that the assigned c aseworker granted Claimant's request to e xtend the time for verification and to provide medic al information of Claimant's disability at a meeting on July 16, 2012. Notwithstanding the extension, no extension was made and the Department scheduled a meeting (appointment Notice) for July 20, 2012, four days after the extension and did not reschedule the appointment. Ba sed on the facts presented, the Claimant's case should not have closed as an extens ion had been granted but apparently not processed.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reason	s
stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department	
properly improperly	

] closed Claimant's case.

 $\boxtimes$  denied Claimant's application.

reduced Claimant's benefits.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department i did act properly i did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Depar tment's decision is	AFFIRMED	REVERSED for the
reasons stated on the record.		

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. The Department shall reregister the Claimant's MA-P and SD A application(s) and shall initiate processing the applications to determine eligibility and obtain verification of the required medical information.

#### 2012-72401/LMF

2. The Department shall reregister the Claimant's SDA application and shall initiate processing the application to determine elig ibility and obtain ve rification of the required medical information.

Lynn M. Ferris

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: December 14, 2012

Date Mailed: December 14, 2012

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

