STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN	J TH		BA A	\ T	CCI	0	^		
III		16	IVI	۱ı		К (u	-	Ī



Reg. No.: 2012-72103

Issue No.: 1038

Case No.: Hearing Date:

e: October 22, 2012

County: Wayne (55)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Alice C. Elkin

Direct Support Services (DSS).

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Oc tober 22, 2012, from Detroi t, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included Family Independence Specialist.

ISSUE

Did the Departm ent properly ⊠ deny Claima for:	an t's application 🔲 close Claimant's case				
 ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐ Direct Support Services (DSS)? 	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)?☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?				
FINDINGS OF FACT					
The Administrative Law Judge, based on t evidence on the whole record, finds as mater	he competent, material, and substantial ial fact:				
1. Cla imant ⊠ applied for benefits □ receiv	red benefits for:				
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).☐ Medical Assistance (MA).	 ☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP). ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA). ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC) 				

2.	On August 6, 2012, the Department denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case due to failure to attend and participate in the Jobs, Education and Training (JET) program prior to her case opening.
3.	On August 6, 2012, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. Closure.
4.	On August 17, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the \boxtimes denial of the application. \square closure of the case.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the idges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established purs uant to the Personal esponsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence lency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.
pro im Re Ag	The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) ogram] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 ough Rule 400.3015.
Se Th Ag	The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independ ence ency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 0.105.
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .
for Se pro	The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The D epartment of Human ervices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400. 3151 through Rule 0.3180.

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE
and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Ch ild Care and Developm ent Block Grant of
1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996
The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98
and 99. The Depart ment provides servic es to adults and children pursuant to MCL
400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.
☐ Direct Support Services (DSS) is adminis tered by the Department pursuant to MCL
400.57a, et. seq., and Mich Admin Code R 400.3603.

Additionally, in an August 6, 2012, Notice of Case Action, the Department denied Claimant's TC-60 FIP applicat ion, which was submitted on June 11, 2012, and dated back to February 29, 2012, because Claimant had failed to attend the Jobs, Education and Training (JET) orientation.

In order to increase their employ ability and obtain employment, work eligible individuals (WEIs) seeking FIP are required to participate in the JET Program or other employment-related activity unless temporarily defe rred or engaged in activities that meet participation requirements. BE M 230A (D ecember 1, 2011), p 1; BEM 233A (May 1, 2012), p 1. Work participation program engagement is a condition of FIP eligibility, and while the F IP application is pending, clients must engage in and comply with all work participation program assignments. BEM 229 (December 1, 2011), pp 3, 5. If an applicant f ails or refuses to appear and participate with the JET program or other employment service provider without good cause while the FIP application is pending, the applicant is noncompliant and the Department will deny the application. BEM 229, p 5; BEM 233A, pp 1-2, 5. A good cause hear ing is not required for applicants who are non-compliant prior to the FIP case opening. BEM 233A, p 7.

In this case, the Department sent Claiman t a Work Participation Program Appointment Notice on July 23, 2012, requiring her to at tend a July 25, 2012, JET orientation. The Department must allow ten days for the work participation program referral to be processed through its central print before requiring the client to attend the work participation program. BEM 229, p 5. While Claimant acknowledged that she received the July 23, 2012, Appointment Notice, this was only because she happened to talk to her worker that day regarding her FAP case and, when she informed her worker that she had not received an Appointment Notice, the worker advised her to come to the office to pick up a copy, which Claimant testified she did the next day, on July 24, 2012. Although Claimant received the Appointment Notice prior to the orientation date, the Department did not act in accordance with Department policy where the Notice required Claimant to attend the JET orientation within two days of the date of the Notice.

Furthermore, Claimant credibly testified that, on the orientation date, her son, who has sickle cell anemia, was ill and Cla imant had to stay home to care for him. Claimant credibly testified that she called her worker and told he r that she could not attend the orientation because of her son's illness and was unable to get anyone to care for him on such short notice and asked that her or ientation be rescheduled. The Department

worker acknowledged she may have received a call from Claimant but could not recall. The Department may extend the day the client has to attend orient ation when necessary. BEM 229, p 5. In this case, the worker testified that Claimant had until August 4, 2012, to attend the JET orientati on but ack nowledged that Claimant was not aware of this fact. Thus, the Department did not act in accordance with Department policy when it failed to reschedule Claimant's JET orientation.

Under the facts in this case, where Claiman t was given only two days from the date of the Appointment Noti ce to make arrangem ents to attend the orientation and whe re Claimant called in prior to the appointment to reschedule it, as provided by the terms of the Appointment Noti ce, the Department di d not act in accordance with Department policy when it denied Claimant 's FIP case on the basis that she failed to participate in the JET program.

policy when it denied Claimant 's FIP case on the basis that she failed to participate in the JET program.
Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Co nclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department
 □ properly denied Claimant's application □ properly closed Claimant's case □ improperly denied Claimant's application □ improperly closed Claimant's case
for:
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \boxtimes FIP \square FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC \square DSS decision is \square AFFIRMED \boxtimes REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
\boxtimes THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
1. Reregister Claimant's TC-60 FIP application received on June 11, 2012, and dated back to February 29, 2012;

- 2. Begin reprocessing the application in accordance with Department policy;
- 3. Issue supplements for any FIP benefits Claimant was entitled to receive but did not from February 29, 2012, ongoing; and

4. Notify Claimant of its decision in writing in accordance with Department policy.

Alice C. Elkin
Administrative Law Judge
For Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: October 26, 2012

Date Mailed: October 26, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision.
- typographical errors, math ematical error, or other obvious errors in the he aring decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request

P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SCB/ctl

