STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 201271977

Issue No.: 1038

Case No.:
Hearing Date: October 11, 2012

County: Genesee-06 County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Corey A. Arendt

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on October 11, 2012, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included and participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included and and

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department properly terminat e and sanction the Claimant's Family Independence Progr am (FIP) benefits for nonc ompliance with Work First/Jobs, Education and Training (WF/JET) requirements?

FINDINGS OF FACT

I find as material fact, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record:

- As of June 19, 2012, the Claimant was enrolled in WF/JET.
- On June 19, 2012, the Claimant failed to appear for a scheduled WF/JET appointment. The Claimant called and told WF/JET her husband wou Id be in on June 20, 2012.
- 3. On June 29, 2012, the Claimant failed to appear for a scheduled WF/JET appointment.
- 4. On July 3, 2012, the Claimant 's husband went to WF/JET but was unable to meet with his case worker.

- 5. On July 5, 2012, WF/JET contacted the Claimant. The Claimant agreed to come into WF/JET for an appointment on July 6, 2012.
- 6. On July 6, 2012, the Claimant failed to appear for the scheduled WF/JET appointment.
- 7. On July 10, 2012, the Claimant called WF/JET and rescheduled the <u>July</u> 6, 2012 appointment to July 11, 2012.
- 8. On July 11, 2012, the Claimant failed to appear for the scheduled WF/JET appointment.
- 9. On July 23, 2012, the Departm ent sent the Claimant a notice of noncompliance. The notice indicated a triage was scheduled to take place on August 1, 2012.
- 10. On August 1, 2012, the Claimant failed to appear for the scheduled triage.
- 11. On or around August 1, 2012 after the scheduled triage time, the Claimant called the Department and rescheduled the missed tr iage for August 6, 2012.
- 12. On August 6, 2012 the Claimant and the Department participated in a phone triage. During the triage, the Cla imant failed to present any good cause argument as to the reasons why they could not attend the required WF/JET appointments.
- 13. On August 6, 2012, the Department sent the Claimant a notice of case action. The notice indicated the Cla imant's FIP case was being closed and sanctioned for failing to participate in required WF/JET activities.
- 14. On August 16, 2012, the Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the FIP closure.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The FIP was established pursuant to the Per sonal Res ponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department administers the FIP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3101-3131. The FIP program replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (B EM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

DHS requires clients to participate in employ ment and self-sufficiency-related activities and to accept employ ment when offered. Our focus is to assist clients in removing

barriers so they can participate in activities which lead to self-sufficiency. However, there are consequences for a client who refuses to participate, without good cause.

The goal of the FIP penalty po licy is to obtain client compliance with appropriate wor k and/or self-sufficiency-related assignment s and to ensure t hat barriers to such compliance have been identified and removed. The goal is to bring the client into compliance.

Noncompliance may be an indicator of possi ble disabilities. Consider further exploration of any barriers.

A Work Eligible Indiv idual (WEI), see BEM 228 , w ho fails, without good cause, to participate in employment or self-sufficiency-related activities, must be penalized.

See <u>BEM 233B</u> for the Food As sistance Program (FAP) policy when the FIP penalty is closure. F or the Refugee Ass istance Program (RAP) penalty policy, see <u>BEM 233C</u>. BEM 233A, p. 1.

As a condition of eligibility, all WEIs and non-WEIs must work or engage in employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities. Noncompliance of applicants, recipients, or member adds means failing to appear an d participate with the Jobs, Edu cation and Training (JET) Program or other employment service provider.

Good cause is a v alid reas on for noncom pliance with employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities that are based on factors that are beyond the control of the noncompliant person. A cl aim of good cause must be verified and documented for member adds and recipients. Document t he good cause determination in Bridges and the FSSP under the "Participation and Compliance" tab.

The penalty for noncompliance without good cause is FIP clos ure. Effective April 1, 2007, the following minimum penalties apply:

- . For the first occurrence on the FIP case, close the FIP for 3 calendar months unless the c lient is excused from the noncompliance as noted in "F irst Case Noncompliance e Without Loss of Benefits" below.
- . For the second occur rence on the FIP case, close the FIP for 3 calendar months.
- . For the third and subsequent oc currence on the FIP case, close the FIP for 12 calendar months.
- . The penalty counter also begins April 1, 2007 regardless of the previous number of noncompliance penalties.

JET participants will not be te rminated from a JET program without first scheduling a "triage" meeting with the client to join tly discuss noncomplian ce and good cause. Locally coordinate a process to notify the MWA case mana ger of triage meetin gs including scheduling guidelines.

Clients can either attend a m eeting or participate in a c onference call if attendance at the triage meeting is not possi ble. If a client calls to reschedule an already scheduled triage meeting, offer a phone conference at that time. Clients must comply with triage requirement within the negative action period.

When a phone triage is conducted for a firs to noncompliance and the client agrees to comply, complete the DHS-754, First Noncompliance Letter, as you would complete in a triage meeting. Note in the client signature box "Client Agreed by Phone". Immediately send a copy of the DHS-754 to the client and phone the JET case manager if the compliance activity is to attend JET.

Determine good caus e based on the best information available during the triage and prior to the negative action date. Good cause may be verified by information already on file with DHS or MWA.

If the FIS, JET case manager, or MRS couns elor do not agree as to whether "good cause" exists for a noncompliance, the case must be forwarded to the immediate supervisors of each party involved to reach an agreement.

DHS must be inv olved with all triage a ppointment/phone calls due to program requirements, documentation and tracking.

If the client establishes good cause within the negative action period, do **NOT** impose a penalty. See "<u>Good Cause for Noncompliance</u>" earlier in this item. Send the client back to JET, if applicable, after resolving transportation, CDC, or other factors which may have contributed to the good cause. Do not enter a new referral on ASSIST. Enter the good cause reason on the DH S-71 and on the FSSP under the "Participation and Compliance" tab.

If the client does NOT provid e a good caus e reason within t he negative action period, determine good cause based on the best information available. If no good cause exists, allow the case to close. If good cause is determined to exist, delete the negative action. (BEM 233A, pp. 10-11).

Noncompliance is defined by Department policy as failing or refusing to do a number of activities, such as attending and partici pating with WF/JET, completing the FAST survey, completing j ob applications, participat ing in employm ent or self -sufficiency-related activities, providing legitimate doc umentation of work par ticipation, etc. (BEM 233A).

Based on the testimony and the evidenc esubmitted, I do not find the Claimant had good cause for the noncompliance. Although the Claimant alleged to have been sick during the time period in question and a lleged to have attended doctors appointments for her son, the Claimant was unable to provide any medical documentation to cover the time period in question.

Therefore, based on material, competen t and substantial evidenc e, I find the Department properly closed and sanctioned the Claimant's FIP case as the Claima nt did not provide a good cause reason as to why they failed to partici pate in their assigned activities.

DECISION AND ORDER

I find, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, that:

1. The Department properly terminated and sanctioned the Claimant's FIP benefits for noncompliance with WF/JET requirements.

Accordingly, the Department's actions are **AFFIRMED**.

/s/	_
	Corey A. Arendi
	Administrative Law Judge
	for Maura D. Corrigan, Director
	Department of Human Services

Date Signed: October 15, 2012

Date Mailed: October 15, 2012

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the maliling date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde rarehearing or reconsideration on the Department's moliton where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

CAA/las

