STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH

P. O. Box 30763, Lansing, MI 48909 (877) 833-0870; Fax (517) 334-9505

IN THE MATTER OF:	
Appellant/	Docket No. 2012-71956 CMH Case No.
DECISION AND	ORDER
This matter is before the undersigned Administration upon the Appellant's request for a hearing.	ative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9
After due notice, a hearing was held on We Appellant's brot her and guardian appellant. Appellant's siste r-in-law behalf.	ednes day, peared and testified on behalf of the also te stified on t he Appellant's
represented by Fair Hearings Control of the Francial Analyst, Fair Hearings Control of the Fair Hearing	Developmental Disabilities opmental Disabilities Contract Manager, ts Coordi nator with Hope Network
ISSUE	

Did the CMH act properly when it determined to reduce Appellant's Life Skills community living supports hours from 27.5 hours per week down to 22 hours per week?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Appellant was a Medicaid beneficiary (DOB 9/27/1961) at the time of the hearing. (Exhibit L, p. 1).
- 2. Network 180, the Ment al Healt h Authority for Kent County (CMH) is responsible for providing Medi caid-covered mental healt h and developmental disability services to eligible recipients in its service area.

- 3. Appellant had been receiving Medicaid covered services through CMH, as a person with a de velopmental d isability, includ ing community liv ing supports (CLS). Appellant's Person Centered Plan of Servic e (PCP), authorized 4 hours of CLS per week in her Adult Foster Care Home (AFC) and 27.5 hours in the Life Skills CL S program at Moka. The CLS hours authorized were authorized as B3 services. (Exhibits M-N and testimony).
- 4. Appellant has a diagnos is of moderate mental re tardation and epilepsy . (Exhibit L, p. 2).
- 5. In early CMH determined the De velopmental Disabilities budget for Fiscal Year was going to be substantially overspent and a plan was developed to bring the budget into line. The cases of individual Medicaid beneficiaries, including that of the Appel lant, were reviewed to insure no duplication of services were taking place and to insure that individuals who were receiving CLS at their AFC homes did not receive Life S kills CLS every day. A new CLS worksheet was developed and service providers were instructed to look more carefully at medical necessity for the services to be authorized. The amount of the providers payments were also reduced for the CLS services being provided, including the Life Skills CLS being provided at Moka. (Exhibits C-K and testimony).
- 6. On Appellant's s upports coordinator updated Appellant's Social Assessment and completed a new CLS works heet. (Exhibits L & O). At that time the supports c oordinator recommended that Appellant receive 22 Life Skills CLS hours, 5.5 hours four days per week, down from 27.5 hours or 5.5 hours five days per week beginning on addendum to Appellant's IPOS showing the reduction in Life Sk ills CLS was completed on The addendum advised Appellant of her rights to a Medicaid Fair Hearing in the event she did not agree with the reduction in CLS hours stated in the addendum to the IPOS. (Exhibit Q).
- 7. On Appellant's request for hearing.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medic al Ass istance Program is establis hed purs uant to Tit le XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). It is administered in accordance with statestate the statute, the Social Welfare Act, the Administrative Code, and the State Plan under Title XIX of the Social Security Act Medical Assistance Program.

Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act, enacted in 1965, authorizes Federal grants to St ates for medical assist ance to low-income persons who are age 65 or over, blind,

disabled, or members of families with dependent children or qualified pregnant women or children. The program is jointly financed by the Feder all and State governments and administered by States. Within broad Federal rules, each State decides eligible groups, types and range of services, payment levels for services, and administrative and operating procedures. Payments for services are made directly by the State to the individuals or entities that furnish the services.

[42 CFR 430.0]

The State plan is a comp rehensive written statement submitted by the agency describing the nature and scope of its Medicaid program and giving assurance that it will be administered in conformity with the specific requirements of title XIX, the regulations in this Chapter IV, and other applicable official issuances of the Department. The State plan contains all information necessary for CMS to determine whether the plan can be approved to serve as a basis for F ederal financial participation (FFP) in the State program.

[42 CFR 430.10]

Section 1915(b) of the Social Security Act provides:

The Secret ary, to the extent he finds it to be cost-effective and efficient and not inconsistent with the purposes of this subchapter, may waive such requirements of section 1396a of this title (other than subsection(s) of this section) (other than sections 1396a(a)(15), 1396a(bb), and 1396a(a)(10)(A) of this title insofar as it requires provision of the care and services described in section 1 396d(a)(2)(C) of this title) as may be necessary for a State...

The State of Michigan has opted to simultaneously utilize the authorities of the 1915(b) and 1915(c) programs to provid e a continuum of services to disabled and/or elderly populations. Under approval from the Cent ers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) the Department of Community Heal th (MDCH) operates a section 1915(b) and 1915(c) Medicaid Managed Spec ialty Services and Support program waiv er. CMH contracts with the Michigan Department of Community Health to provide services under the waiver pursuant to its contract obligations with the Department.

Medicaid beneficiaries are ent itled to medic ally necess ary Medicaid covered services for which they are eligible. Services must be provid ed in the appropriate amount, scope, and duration to reasonably achieve the purpose of the covered service. See 42 CFR 440.230.

The Code of Federal Regulations, the st ate Menta I Health Code, and Michigan Medicaid policy mandate t hat appropriate amount, scope and duratio n is to be determined through the person-c entered planning process. It is indisputable that the federal regulations, state law, and policy, require the cooperation of both the Community Mental Health and the Medicaid beneficiary in the person-centered planning process.

The CMH must follow the Department's M edicaid Provider Manual when approvin g mental health services to an applicant, and t he CMH must apply the medical necessity criteria found within the Medicaid Provider Manual. The Department's Medicaid Provider Manual, Mental Health and Substance Abuse, Medical Necess ity Criteria, October 1, 2012, Section 2.5 lists the criteria the CMH must apply as follows:

2.5.A. Medical Necessity Criteria

Mental health, developmental disabilities, and substance abuse services are supports, services and treatment:

- Necessary for screening and asse ssing the presence of a mental illness, developmental disability or substance use disorder; and/or
- Required to identify and eval uate a mental illness developmental disability or substance use disorder; and/or
- Intended to treat, ameliorate, diminish o r stabiliz e t he symptoms of mental illness, deve lopmental disability or substance use disorder; and/or
- Expected to arrest or delay the progression of a mental illness, developmental disability, or substance use disorder; and/or
- Designed to assist the beneficiary to attain or maintain a sufficient level of functioning in order to achieve his goals of community inclusion and participation, independence, recovery, or productivity.

2.5.B. DETERMINATION CRITERIA

The determination of a medically necessary support, service or treatment must be:

- Based on information provided by the beneficiary, beneficiar y's family, and/or other
- individuals (e.g., friends, pers onal assistants/aides) who know the beneficiary;
- Based on clinical information from the beneficiary's primary care physician or health c are professionals with relevant qualifications who have evaluated the beneficiary;
- For beneficiaries with mental ill ness or developmental disabilities, based on person centered planni ng, and for beneficiaries with substance use disorders, individualized treatment planning;

- Made by appropriately trained mental health, developmental disabilities, or substance
- abuse professionals with sufficient clinical experience;
- Made within federal and state standards for timeliness;
- Sufficient in amount, scope and dur ation of the service(s) to reasonably achieve its/their purpose; and
- Documented in the individual plan of service.

2.5.C. SUPPORTS, SERVICES AND TREATM ENT AUT HORIZED BY THE PIHP

Supports, services, and treatment authorized by the PIHP must be:

- Delivered in accordance with federal and state standards for timeliness in a location that is accessible to the beneficiary;
- Responsive to particular needs of multi-cultural populations and furnished in a culturally relevant manner;
- Responsive to the particular needs of beneficiaries with sensory or mobility impairments and provided with the nec essary accommodations;
- Provided in the least restrictive, most integrated setti ng. Inpatient, licensed residential or other segregated settings shall be used only when less restrictive levels of treatment, service or support have been, for that beneficiary, unsucce ssful or cannot be safely provided; and
- Delivered consistent with, wher findings, health care practice guidelines, best practices and standards of practice issued by professionally recognized organizations or government agencies.

2.5.D. PIHP DECISIONS

Using criteria for medical necessity, a PIHP may:

- Deny services that are:
- deemed ineffective for a given condition based upon professionally and scientifically recognized and accepted standards of care;
- > experimental or investigational in nature; or
- for which there exists another appropriate, efficacious, lessrestrictive and cost effective se rvice, setting or su pport that otherwise satisfies the standards fo r medically-necessary services; and/or
- Employ various methods to determine amount, scope and duration of services, including prior aut horization for certain servic es,

concurrent utilization reviews, centralized assessment and referral, gate-keeping arrangements, protocols, and guidelines.

A PIHP may not deny services based **solely** on preset limits of the cost, amount, scope, and duration of services . Instead, determination of the need for s ervices shall be c onducted on an in dividualized basis. [pp. 12-14].

The Medicaid Prov ider Manual, Mental Health/Subst ance Abus e, October 1, 2012, Section 17, articulates Medicaid policy fo r Michigan, for B3 services includin g Community Living Supports (CLS).

17.2 CRITERIA FOR AUTHORIZING B3 SUPPORTS AND SERVICES

The authorization and use of Medicaid funds for any of the B3 supports and services, as well as their am ount, scope and duration, are dependent upon:

- The Medic aid beneficiary's eligib ility for specia Ity services an d supports as defined in this Chapter; and
- The service(s) having been ident ified during per son-centered planning; and
- The service(s) being medically necessary as defined in the Medical Necessity Criteria subsection of this chapter; and
- The servic e(s) being expected to achiev e one or more of the above-listed goals as ident ified in the beneficiary's plan of servic e; and
- Additional criteria indicated in certain B3 service de finitions, as applicable.

Decisions regarding the authorization of a B3 serv ice (inc luding the amount, scope and duration) must ta ke into account the PIHP's equitably serve other Medicaid documented capacity to reasonably and beneficiaries who also have needs for these services. The B3 supports and servic es are not intended to m eet all the indiv idual's needs and preferences, as some needs may be better met by community and other natural supports. Natural supports mean unpaid ass istance provided to the benefic iary by people in his /her net work (family, friends, neighbors, community volunteer s) who are wil ling and able to provide such assistance. It is reasonable to expec t that parents of minor children with disabilities will provide the same level of care they would provide to their children without disa bilities. M DCH e ncourages the use o supports to assist in meeting an indi vidual's needs to the extent that the family or fri ends who provide the nat ural supports are willing and able to provide this assistance. PIHPs may not require a beneficiary's natural support network to provide such assistance as a condition for receiving

specialty mental health supports and services. The use of natural supports must be documented in the beneficiary's individual plan of serv ice. [p. 111].

17.3.B. COMMUNITY LIVING SUPPORTS

Community Living Supports are used to increase or maintain person al self-sufficiency, facilitating an individual's achievem ent of his goals of community inclusion and participation, independence or productivity. The supports may be provided in the participant's residence or in community settings (including, but not limited to, libraries, city pools, camps, etc.).

Coverage includes:

- Assisting, reminding, observing, guiding and/or training in the following activities:
- > meal preparation
- > laundry
- routine, seasonal, and heavy household care and maintenance
- activities of daily living (e.g., bathing, eating, dressing, personal hygiene)
- shopping for food and other necessities of daily living

CLS services may not supplant state plan services, e.g., Personal Care (assistance with ADLs in a cert ified specialized residential setting) and Home Help or Expanded Home Help (a ssistance in the indiv idual's own, unlicensed home with meal preparation, laundry, routine household c are and maintenance, activities of daily liv ing and shopping). If such with the help of the PIHP case assistance is needed, the beneficiary, manager or supports coordinator mu st request Home Help and, if necessary, Expanded Home Help from the Department of Human Services (DHS). CLS may be used for those activities while the beneficiary awaits determination by DHS of the amount, scope and duration of Home Help or Expanded Home Help. The PIHP case manager or supports coordinator must assist, if necessary, the beneficiary in filling out and sending a request for F air Hearing when the beneficiary believes that the D HS authorization amount, scope and duration of Home Help does not accurately reflect the beneficiary's needs based on findings of the DHS assessment.

- Staff assistance, support and/or training with activities such as:
- > money management
- non-medical care (not requiring nurse or physician intervention)
- socialization and relationship building

- transportation from the beneficiary's res idence to community activities, among community acti vities, and from the community activities back to the beneficiary's residence (transportation to and from medical appointments is excluded)
- participation in regular communi ty activities and recreation opportunities (e.g., attending classe s, movies, concerts and events in a park; volunteering; voting)
- attendance at medical appointments
- acquiring or procuring goods, other than those listed under shopping, and nonmedical services
 - Reminding, observing and/or monitoring of medication administration
 - Staff assistance with preserving the health and safety of the individual in order that he/she may reside or be supported in the most integrated, independent community setting.

CLS may be provided in a licensed specialized residential setting as a complement to, and in conjunction with, state plan Personal Care services. Transportation to medical appo intments is covered by Medicaid through DHS or the Medicaid Health Plan. Payment for CLS services may not be made, directly or indirectly, to responsible relat ives (i.e., spouses, or parents of minor child ren), or guardian of the beneficiary receiving community living supports. (Underline emphasis added by ALJ).

CLS assist ance with meal preparation, laundry, routine hous ehold care and maintenance, activities of daily living and/or shopping may be used to complement Home Help or Expanded Home Help services when the individual's needs for this assist ance have been officially determined to exceed the DHS's allowable parameters. CLS may also be used for those activities while the beneficiary awaits the decision from a Fair H earing of the appeal of a DH S decision. Reminding, observing, guiding, and/or training of these activities are CLS coverages that do not supplant Home Help or Expanded Home Help.

Community Living Supports (CLS) prov ides support to a beneficiary younger than 18, and the family in the care of their child, while fa cilitating the child's independence and integration into the community. This service provides s kill development related to activities of daily livin g, such as bathing, eating, dressing, personal hygiene, household chores and safety skills; and skill development to achieve or maintain mobility, sensorymotor, communication, socialization and relationship-building skills, and participation in leisure and community activities. These supports must be provided directly to, or on behalf of, the child. These supports may serve to reinforce skills or lessons taught in school, therapy, or other settings

but are not intended to supplant serv ices provided in schoo I or other settings or to be provided when the child would typi cally be in sc hool but for the parent's choice to home-school the child. [p. 114].

The testimony of CMH's witnes ses along with the documentary evidence admitted during the administrative hearing shows that the Appellant had been receiving Medicaid covered services through CMH, including 31.5 hours of community living support s (CLS) per week, 4 hours of CLS per week in her Adult Foster C are Home (AFC) and 27.5 hours in the Life Skills CLS program at Moka.

The CMH witnesses advised that due to the fact the CMH budget for developmental disability services was determined to be greatly overspent, including the budget for CLS services, CMH had to implement a plan to br ing their budget into line. Cuts were made to the rates being paid to providers. CM H also had to come up a new worksheet for determining the appropriate number of CLS hours to be awarded to individual beneficiaries. Finally, individual beneficiaries had their cases reassessed looking carefully at medical necessity for the service set to be authorized to avoid just making across the board cuts, and still being able to provide adequate services to all beneficiaries in light of their budget constraints. (See exhibits C-K).

Appellant's supports coordinator stated she	<u>updated</u> Appellant's Social Assessment and
completed a new CLS worksheet on	(See exhibits L & O). At that time
she recommended that Appellant receive 22	Li fe Skills CLS hours, 5.5 hours four days
per week, down from 27.5 hours or 5.5 hou	rs five days per week beginning on
She stated an addendum to Appellan	it's IPOS showing the reduction in Life Skills
CLS was completed on (Se	ee exhibit Q). Appellant 's brother guardian
filed an appeal of the proposed reduction on	(See exhibit A).

Appellant's supports coordi nator stated she reasses sed Appellant's needs for CLS services in June following the budget reduction plan. At that time, she deter mined that 88 hours of Life Skills CLS would be sufficient in amount, scope and duration to allow the Appellant to continue making progress on her goal of increasing her social skills and at the same time maintaining her progress with a reduced amount of CLS services.

Appellant's brother and sister-in-law testified at the hearing that Appellant needs help with personal care. She has disruptive behaviors that caused her to be as ked to leave 5 adult foster care homes within 10 years. They indicated that Appellant has made some improvement since she began receiving CLS services at Moka. The Appellant's witnesses stated there is no duplication of services between the CLS being provided in her AFC home and at Moka. Appellant's brother is concerned that Appellant will regress if there is any reduction in her CLS services. These services help her to shower and make her presentable when they take her out into the community.

The CMH and the undersigned Administrative Law Judge are bound by the Code of Federal Regulations, the state Mental Health Code, and the Medicaid Provider Manual policy. In this case, Appellant's services are being reduced one day a week for a total

reduction in CLS serv ices of 5.5 hours per week. The preponder ance of the evidenc e shows that this reduced amount of CLS servic es still meets the def inition of medica I necessity by being sufficient in amount, scope and duration to reasonably allow Appellant to achieve improvement towards her stated goal in her IPOS of increasing her social skills, while maintaining her progress towards that goal.

While additional hours CLS hours would be nice, CMH must still take into con sideration the policy quoted above from the Medicaid Provider Manual. Decisions regarding the authorization of a B3 service (including the amount, scope and duration) must take into account the PIHP's document ed capacity to reasonably and equitably serve other Medicaid beneficiaries who also have needs for these services. The B3 supports and services are not intended to meet all the individual's needs and preferences, as some needs may be better met by community and other natural supports.

In conclusion, Appellant has failed to show by a preponderance of the evidence that CMH's proposed reduction in services was improper. CMH's proposed reduction in services should be upheld.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that CMH's pr oposed reduction of the A ppellant's community living supports from 27.5 hours per week down to 22 hours per week was proper.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

The CMH decision to reduce Appellant supports from 27.5 hours per week down to 22 hours per week is AFFIRMED.

William D. Bond
Administrative Law Judge
for James K. Haveman, Director
Michigan Department of Community Health

William D Bond

cc:

Date Mailed: 10/23/2012

Kozlowski, Carole Docket No. 2012-71956 CMH Decision and Order

*** NOTICE ***

The Michigan Administrative Hearing System may order a rehearing on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. The Michigan Administrative Hearing System will not order a rehearing on the Department's motion where the final decision or rehearing cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. The Appellant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt of the rehearing decision.