# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

# IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 201271728

Issue No.: 3003

Case No.:
Hearing Date: October 2, 2012
County: losco County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Christopher S. Saunders

## **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on October 2, 2012 from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant and her authorized representative (AR), Mr. Ouimet. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included

### <u>ISSUE</u>

Due to excess income, did the Department   ☐ close Claimant's case ☑ reduce Claiman	
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	<ul><li>☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?</li><li>☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)?</li><li>☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?</li></ul>
FINDINGS	OF FACT
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:	
Claimant	⊠ received benefits for:
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP). ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA). ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)

2.	On September 1, 2012, the Department  denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case  reduced Claimant's benefits due to excess income.
3.	On August 6, 2012, the Department sent  Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)  notice of the denial. closure. reduction.
4.	On August 16, 2012, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting the $\square$ denial of the application. $\square$ closure of the case. $\boxtimes$ reduction of benefits.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.
pro im Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 ough Rule 400.3015.
Se Th	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the A program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
for as	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 0.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.
an	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE d XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 90, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996.

The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, at the hearing, the department was not able to properly identify the numbers used in calculating the claimant's budget in terms of allowable deductions. Accordingly, the Administrative Law Judge finds that the department has not met their burden of going forward to show that the action taken to reduce the claimant's FAP benefits was in accordance with policy.

benefits was in accordance with policy.
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess ncome, the Department $\square$ properly $\boxtimes$ improperly
<ul> <li>☐ denied Claimant's application</li> <li>☐ reduced Claimant's benefits</li> <li>☐ closed Claimant's case</li> </ul>
or:
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's $\square$ AMP $\square$ FIP $\boxtimes$ FAP $\square$ MA $\square$ SDA $\square$ CDC decision s $\square$ AFFIRMED $\boxtimes$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
oxed THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
The department shall initiate a redetermination of the claimant's eligibility for FAP benefits as of the date of negative action paying particular attention to the medical expenses that should be allowed as deductions for the claimant. If it is determined that the claimant is eligible for additional benefits, the department shall issue any past due benefits due and owing that the claimant is otherwise eligible to receive and adjust her future benefit amount accordingly.
<u>/s/</u>
/ <u>s/</u> Christopher S. Saunders Administrative Law Judge
For Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

3

Date Signed: October 3, 2012
Date Mailed: October 4, 2012

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

### CSS/cr

