## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	201271613 2021, 3019 September 26, 2012 Macomb-12 County DHS
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Corey A. Arene	dt	
HEARING DECI	SION	
This matter is before the undersigned Administ rat and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for telephone hearing was held on Sept ember 2 Participants on behalf of Cla imant included behalf of Department of Human Services (Department	or a hearing. Afte 26, 2012 from	
ISSUE		
Due to excless assets, dild the Department proper ☐ close Claimant's case for:	rly 🛚 deny the Cl	aimant's app lication
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?		Assistance (AMP)? Assistance (SDA)?
FINDINGS OF F	ACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the cevidence on the whole record, including the testing fact:	•	•
1. Cla imant ⊠ applied for benefits □ received be	enefits for:	
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ Medical Assistance (MA). ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).		Assistance (AMP).  Assistance (SDA).
<ol> <li>In 2008, the Claimant mov ed out of her home and into the home of her daughter. The Claim and maintained ownership of the home through</li> </ol>	nant owns the	home outright 2012.

3.	In 2012 the	home had a State Equalized Value of \$
4.		on July 1, 2012, the Department application.
5.	_ =	Department sent laimant's Authorized Representative (AR) enial.   Closure.
6.		Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the cation.   cation.   closure of the case.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The regulations governing the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are found in the Michigan Administrative Code, MAC R 400.901-400.951. An oppor tunity for a hearing shall be granted to an ap plicant who requests a hearing because his claim for assistance is denied. MAC R 400.903(1).

Clients have the right to cont est a department decis ion affect ing eligibility or benefit levels whenever it is belie ved that the decision is inco rrect. BAM 600. The department will provide an adm inistrative hearing to review the decision and determine the appropriateness. BAM 600.

The FAP (formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program) is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

Assets must be cons idered in determining el igibility for FIP, SD A, RAPC, LIF, G2U, G2C, SSI-related MA categories, AMP and FAP. (BEM 400).

**Assets** means cash, any other personal property and real property. **Real property** is land and objects affixed to the land such as buildings, trees and fences. Condominium's are real property. **Personal property** is any item subject to ownership that is **not** real property (examples: currency, savings accounts and vehicles). (BEM 400).

Determine asset eligibility prospectively using the asset group's as sets from the benefit month. Asset eligibility exists when the group's countable a ssets are less than, or equal to, the applicable asset limit at least one day during the month being tested. The FAP asset limit is \$5,000. (BEM 400).

A homestead is where a person lives (unless Absent from Homestead, see below) that he owns, is buying or holds through a life esta te or life lease. It includes the home, all adjoining land and any other buildings on the land. Adjoining land means land which is

**not** completely separated from the home by land owned by someone els e. Adjoining land may be separated by rivers, easements and public rights-of-way (example: utilit y lines and roads). (BEM 400).

Exclude the homestead the owner formerly lived in if the owner intends to return and is absent for one of the following reasons:

- Vocational rehabilitation training.
- Inability to live at home due to a verified health condition.
- Migratory farm work.
- Care in a hospital.
- Temporary absence due to employment, training f or future employment, illness, or a casualty (example: fire) or natural disaster.

In the present case, the Claimant's home which she moved out of was not in foreclosure and the Claimant was not in the process of being evicted. The refore, the Department acted appropriately in considering the home an asset. The home was no longer the homestead of the Claimant and the Claimant did not meet one of the homestead exceptions.

Since the value of the home exceeded the FAP and MA asset limit s, the Department properly terminated the Claimant's FAP benefits.

Accordingly, I affirm the Department's actions.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

I find, based upon the above F indings of Fact and Conclusions of Law find that the Department acted in accordanc e with the applicable laws and polic ies in denying the Claimant's FAP and MA applications.

Accordingly, the Department's FAP decision is **AFFIRMED**.

<u>/s/</u>

Corey A. Arendt Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: September 27, 2012

Date Mailed: September 27, 2012

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, math ematical error, or other obvious errors in the he aring decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## CAA/las

