STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:		Reg. No: Issue No:	2012-71527 6052
		Hearing Date: Wayne-43 Coun	June 11, 2013
ADMINISTR	ATIVE LAW JUDGE: Corey A. Arendt		
HE	EARING DECISION FOR INTENTIONAL	PROGRAM VIO	LATION
and MCL 40 hearing. Aft Lansing, Mic	is before the undersigned Administ rative 0.37 upon the Departm ent of Human Seer due notice, a telephone hearing was higan. The Department was represente eneral (OIG).	ervic es' (Departm as hel <u>d on June 1</u>	nent) request for a
	ent did not appear at the hearing and it 7 CFR 273.16(e), Mich Admin Code R 4		
	ISSUES		
1. Dic	Respondent receive an overissuar Program (FIP), Food Assistance Program (SDA), Child Developm the Department is entitled to recoup?	rogram (FAP), [State Dis ability
2.	Did Respondent commit an Intentional	Program Violation	ı (IPV)?
	FINDINGS OF FAC	<u>ct</u>	
	strative Law Judge, based on t he cor the whole record, finds as material fact:	mpetent, material,	and substantial
1.	The Department's OIG filed a hearing establish an OI of benefits received by Respondent having allegedly committee.	Respondent	-
2.	The OIG ☐ has ☒ has not reques to from receiving program benefits.	ed that Responde	ent be disqualified
3.	Respondent was a recipient of CDC bully 9, 2005.	enefits from July	11, 2004 through

4.		Respondent \boxtimes was \square was not aware of the res ponsibility to report all changes within 10 days.
	5.	Respondent had no appar ent physical or mental impairment that would limit the understanding or ability to fulfill this requirement.
	6.	The Department's OIG indicates the time period they are considering the fraud period is July 11, 2004 through July 9, 2005.
	7.	During the alleged fraud period, Respondent was is sued \$ in CDC benefits from the State of Michigan.
	8.	Respondent was entitled to \$0 in CDC during this time period.
9.		Respondent \boxtimes did \square did not receive an OI in the amount of \$ CDC benefits.
10.		The Department \boxtimes has $\ \ \Box$ has not establish ed that Respondent committed an IPV.
	11.	This was Respondent's ⊠ first □ second □ third IPV.
	12.	A notice of disqualification hearing was mailed to Respondent at the last known address and \square was \boxtimes was not returned by the US Post Office as undeliverable.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The CDC program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of F ederal Regulations, Pa rts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.

In the present matter, t he Department requested a heari ng to establis h an over issuance of CDC benefits, clai ming that the over issuance e was a result of an IPV committed by Respondent.

Here, the OIG presented unequivocal ev idence that Respondent began receiving CDC benefits as a result of the Respondent needi ng child care while she attended school. However, shortly after the Respondent began receiving the CDC be nefits, the Respondent stopped attending school and failed to inform the Department of the change or identify another need reason.

When a client group receives more benefits than they are entitled to receive, the Department must attempt to recoup the OI. BAM 700.

Suspected IPV means an OI exists for which all three of the following conditions exist:

- The client intentionally failed t o report information or intentionally gave incomplete or inaccurate information needed to make a correct benefit determination, and
- The client was clearly and co rrectly instructed regarding his or her reporting responsibilities, and
- The client has no apparent physical or mental impairment that limits his or her understanding or ability to fulfill their reporting responsibilities.

IPV is sus pected when there is clear and convinc ing evidence that the client has intentionally withheld or misr epresented information for the purpose of establishing, maintaining, increasing or preventing reduction of program benefits or eligibility. BAM 720.

A court or hearing decision that finds a client committed an IP V disqualifies that client from receiving program benefits. A disqualified recipient remains a member of an active group as long as he lives with them. Other eligible group members may continue to receive benefits. BAM 720.

Clients who commit an IPV are disqualified for a standard di squalification period except when a court orders a different period. Clients are disqualified for periods of one year for the first IPV, two years for the second IPV, lifet ime disqualification for the third IPV, and ten years for a concurrent receipt of benefits. BAM 720.

Based on the credible testimony and other evidence presented, I have conc luded the OIG established, under the cl ear and convincing st andard, that Respondent committed an IPV in this matter. At no ti me did the Respondent inform the Department of her changes in circumstances that would have resulted in ineligibility for the CDC program.

DECISION AND ORDER

I have concluded, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law:

- Respondent ☑ did ☐ did not commit an IPV.
 - 2. Respondent \(\sum \) did \(\sum \) did not receive an overiss uance of program benefits in the amount of \(\sum \) from the following CDC program.

The Department is ORDERED to initiate recoupment procedures for the amount of in accordance with Department policy.

Corey A. Arendt Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

, act

Date Signed: June 12, 2013

Date Mailed: June 12, 2013

NOTICE: The law provides that within 30 days of receipt of the above Decision and Order, the Respondent may appeal it to the circuit court fo r the county in which he/she lives.

CAA/las

