STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN	TH	MΛ.	TT		\mathbf{a}	
ПV		VI /~		ᄗ		Г.

blind.

		_
		_

Reg. No.: 201271488 Issue No.: 2001

Case No.: Hearing Date:

County:

September 19, 2012 DHS-SSPC-WEST

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Corey A. Arendt

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a selephone hearing was held on Sept ember 19, 2012, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claima nt included Participants on behalf of Claima Services (Department) included								
<u>ISSUE</u>								
Did the Departm ent properly $igtimes$ deny Claiman t's application $igsqcup$ close Claimant's case for:								
Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)?								
FINDINGS OF FACT								
The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:								
1. Cla imant ⊠ applied for benefits □ received benefits for:								
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP) ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☐ Medical Assistance (MA).	 Adult Medical Assistance (AMP). ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA). ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC). 							
2. On August 2, 2012, the Claimant and interview. During the interview, the Cla	the Department participated in a phone imant indic ated she was not disabled or							

- 3. On August 7, 2012, the Department den benefits as the Claim ant was not blind or application for AMP benefits as the pr enrollments.
- ied the Claimant's application for MA disabled; and denied the Claimant's ogram was currently closed to new
- 4. On August 7, 2012, the D epartment sent the Claim ant a notice of case action indicating the MA and AMP denials.
- 5. On August 14, 2012, the Claimant requested hearing to dispute the denials.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The MA program is established by the Titl e XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.

The AMP is establishhed by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*.

The goal of the Medicaid program is to ensure that essentia I health care s ervices are made available to those who otherwise could not afford them. Medicaid is also known as Medical Assistance (MA). BEM 105 (2012), p. 1.

The Medic aid program is comprised of se veral sub-programs or categories. One category is FIP recipients. A nother category is SSI recipien ts. There are several other categories for persons not receiving FIP or SSI. However, the eligibility factors for these categories are based on (relat ed to) the eligibility factors in either the FIP or SSI program. Therefore, these categories are referred to as either FIP-related or SSI related. BEM 105 (2012), p. 1.

To receive MA under an SSI-related category , the person must be aged (65 or older), blind, disabled, entitled to Medicare or formerly blind or disabled. BEM 105 (2012), p. 1.

Families with dependent children, caretake r relatives of depend ent children, persons under age 21 and pregnant, or re cently pregnant, women receive MA under FIP-related categories. BEM 105 (2012), p. 1.

Because the Claimant in this case is not b lind, disabled, pregnant or the caretaker of any minor children she is therefore ineligiballe for MA benefits. Furthermore, the AMP program is currently closed to new enrollment and therefore, the Claimant is also ineligible to receive AMP benefits at this time. Therefore, the Department acted in

accordance with the applic able laws and polic ies when they denied M A and AM P coverage for the Claimant.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the reco rd, finds that the Department did act properly.

Accordingly, the Department 's AMP and MA decision is **AFFIRMED** for the reasons stated on the record.

/s/

Corey A. Arendt Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: September 21, 2012

Date Mailed: September 21, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, math ematical error, or other obvious errors in the he aring decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CAA/las

CC:

