STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.:2012-71448Issue Nos.:2009Case No.:Image: Case No.:Hearing Date:November 28, 2012DHS County:Oakland (03)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Cla imant's request for a hearing. After due notice, an inperson hearing was conducted from Walled Lake, Michigan, on November 28, 2012. Claimant appeared and test ified. also ap peared and testified as a witness on behalf of the Claimant. appeared as the Claimant's Authorized Representative appeared and on behalf of the Department of Human Services ("Department").

ISSUE

Whether the Department proper ly determined that the Clai mant was disabled for purposes of the Medical Assistance ("MA-P)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claimant submitt ed an application for public assistance seeking MA-P benefits on February 27, 2012.
- 2. On July 6, 2012, the Medical Revi ew Team ("MRT") found the Claimant not disabled. (Exhibit 1)
- 3. The Department notified the Claimant of the MRT determination on July 9, 2012.

- 4. On August 10, 2012, the Department received the Claimant's timely written request for hearing.
- 5. On October 2, 2012, the State H earing Review Team ("SHRT") found the Claimant not disabled. (Exhibit 2)
- 6. The Claimant alleged physic al disabling impairments due to Diabetes Type 2, a tear in Achilles tendon, a one-sided w eakness (left) due to reaction to blood pressure medicine which may not be permanent, numbness in her hands and feet due to neuropathy associated with di abetes. Pain associated with heart bypass surgery and swelling in leg where vein for bypass was harvested.
- 7. The Claimant has not alleged any mental disabling impairment(s).
- 8. At the time of hearing, the Claimant was years old with a birth date; was 5'2" in height; and weighed 207 pounds.
- 9. The Claimant has a high school educat ion and is working in telephone ad s ales for a news paper soliciting advertisements by telephone for the last seven y ears but has not earned at the subs tantial gainful employ ment activity level. The Claimant earns \$9.00 per hour and works 26 hours weekly earning gross pay of \$936. In order to be deemed considered substantial gainful activity the Claimant must have gross earnings of \$1010 per month.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The MA program is established by Subchapter XIX of Chapter 7 of The Public Health & Welfare Act, 42 USC 1397, and is administ ered by the Department, formerly known as the Family Independence Agenc y, pursuant to MCL 400.10 *et seq.* and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Br idges Administ rative Manual ("BAM"), the Bridges Eligibility Manual ("BEM"), and the Bridges Reference Manual ("RFT").

Disability is defined as the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental im pairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expect ed to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months. 20 CFR 416.905(a). The person claimi ng a physical or mental disability has the burden to esta blish it through the use of competent medical evidenc e from qualified medical sources such as his or her medical history, clinica l/laboratory findings, diagnosis/prescri bed treatment, prognosis for recovery and/or medical assessment of ability to do work-related ac tivities o r ability to reason and make appropriate mental adjustments, if a mental disability is alleged. 20 CRF 413 .913. An

individual's subjective pain com plaints ar e not, in and of themselves, sufficient to establish disab ility. 20 CF R 416.908; 2 0 CFR 4 16.929(a). Similarly, conclusor y statements by a physician or mental health professional that an individual is disabled or blind, absent supporting medical evidence, is insufficient to establish disability. 20 CFR 416.927.

When determining disability, t he federal regulations require several factors to be considered including: (1) the location/ duration/frequency/intensity of an applicant's pain; (2) the type/dosage/effe ctiveness/side effects of any medication the applicant takes to relieve pain; (3) any treatment other than pain medication that the applicant has received to relieve pain; and, (4) the e ffect of the applicant's pain on his or her ability to do basic work activities. 20 CFR 416.929(c)(3). The applicant's pain must be assessed to determine the extent of his or her functional limitation(s) in light of the objective medical evidence presented. 20 CFR 416.929(c)(2).

In order to determine whether or not an individual is disabled, federal regulations require a five-step sequential evaluation process be utilized. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(1). The fivestep analysis requires the trier of fact to cons ider an individual's current work activit y; the severity of the impairment(s) both in duration and whether it meets or equals a listed impairment in Appendix 1; residual functional capacity to det ermine whether an individual c an perform past relev ant work; and residual functional I capacity along with vocational factors (e.g., age, education, and work experienc e) to determine if an individual can adjust to other work. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(4); 20 CFR 416.945.

If an individual is found disabled, or not disabled, at any step, a determination or decision is made with no need to evaluate subsequent steps. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(4). If a determination cannot be made that an individual is disabled, or not disabled, at a particular step, the next step is required. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(4). If an impairment does not meet or equal a listed impairment, an indi vidual's residual functional capacity is R 416.920(a)(4); 20 CFR assessed before moving from Step 3 to Step 4. 20 CF 416.945. Residual f unctional capacity is the most an indiv idual can do d espite the limitations based on all relevant evidence. 20 CF R 945(a)(1). An individual's residua l functional capacity assessment is eval uated at both Steps 4 and 5. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(4). In determining disability, an i ndividual's functional capacity to perform basic work activities is evaluated and if found that the individ ual h as the ability to perform basic work activities without significant limitation, disability will not be found. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(1)(iv).

In general, the individual has the responsibility to prove disability. 20 CFR 41 6.912(a). An impair ment or combination of impairments is not severe if i t does not signific antly limit an in dividual's physica I or mental ability to do basic wor k activities. 20 CFR 416.921(a). As outlined abov e, the first step looks at the individual's current work

activity. An individual is not disabled regardless of the medical condition, age, education, and work experience, if the i substantial, gainful activity. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(4)(i). The individual has the responsibility to provide evidence of prior work experience; efforts to work; and an y other factor showing how the impairment affects the ability to work. 20 CF R 416.912(c)(3)(5)(6).

In the record presented, the CI aimant, based upon her earnings, is not involv ed in substantial gainful activity as her current earnings do not meet t he substantial gainful activity earnings level of \$1010 per month. The Cla imant's gross monthly earnings are \$936 per month. Claimant is not disqualified from receipt of disability benefits under Step 1.

The severity of the claimant 's alleged impairment(s) is c onsidered under Step 2. The claimant bears the burden to present sufficient objective medical evidence to substantiate the alleged disa bling impairments. In order to be considered disabled for MA purpos es, the impairment must be se vere. 20 CFR 916. 920(a)(4)(ii); 20 CFR 916.920(b). An impairment, or combination of impairments, is severe if it signific antly limits an in dividual's physical or mental ability to do basic work activities regardless of age, education and work exper ience. 20 CF R 916.920(a)(4)(ii); 20 CFR 916.920(c). Basic work activities means the abilities and aptitudes necessary to do most jobs. 20 CFR 916.921(b). Examples include:

- 1. Physical functions such as walk ing, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling;
- 2. Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- 3. Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- 4. Use of judgment;
- 5. Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- 6. Dealing with changes in a routine work setting.

ld.

The second step allows for dismissal of a di sability claim obviously lacking in medical merit. *Higgs v Bowe n*, 880 F2d 860, 862 (CA 6, 1988). The severity requirement may still be employed as an admin istrative convenience to screen o ut claims that are totally groundless solely from a medical standpoint. *Id.* at 863 *citing Farris v Sec of Health and Human Services*, 773 F2d 85, 90 n.1 (CA 6, 1985). An impairment qu alifies as non-severe only if, regardless of a claimant's age, education, or work experience, the impairment would not affect the claimant's ability to work. *Salmi v Sec of Health and Human Services*, 774 F2d 685, 692 (CA 6, 1985).

In the present case, the Cla imant alleges disability due to Diab etes Type 2, a tear in Achilles tendon, a one-sided weakness (left) due to reaction to blood pressure medicine which may not be permanent, numbness in her hands and feet due to neuropath y associated with diabetes. Pain associated with heart bypass surgery and swelling in leg where vein for bypass was harvested. A summ ation of the Claimant 's medic al evidence follows.

The Claimant underwent a coronary artery bypass of two arteries on The Claimant was hospitalized for 8 days and discharged to home. The medical report discharge summary noted some complaint of right heel pain. The diagnos is was tigh t gastrocnemius muscle. At the time of di scharge the Claimant was afebrile, was in normal sinus rhythm. Incisions were clean, dry and intact without signs of infection and sternum was stable. Prior to the coronary artery bypass procedures the Claimant also had a heart catheterization which was successfully performed.

The Claimant was seen for a consultative examination on notes mild to moderate discomfort because of severe pain in chest area and right leg. The exam notes patient is limping while walking. Rig ht lower ex tremity is a little bit swollen, significant tenderness in the right ankle and right calf area. Exam of lower back reveals the patient has a little bit of muscle spasm in lower back area with painful range of motion. Straight le g raising is positive in the right side about 30-40 degree s and the left side about 50-60 d egrees. Exam of right hip rev eals painful range of motion. Right knee again is a little bit swollen with painful range of motion. Right ankle shows a little bit of swelling with painful range of motion. Assessment was status post coronary artery bypass graft, hi gh blood pr essure, hyperlipidemia, diabetes, chronic right lower extremity swelling, unsteady gait. Medical re cords reviewed indicate the surgical procedure and problem with her heart and the lower extremity.

The Claim ant's treating cardiologist on Echocardiography. The findings were that pulmonary vein flow pattern is normal,

the transmitral flow pattern is normal, tissue dopplar parameters are normal, left

ventricular diastolic functions are normal and the ejection fraction is in the range of 50% to 55%. A note on the record notes that the Claimant's heart strength is just about normal. Exhibit 3

There were no other recent medical records presented.

As previously noted, the Claim ant bears t he burden to present sufficient objective medical evidence to s ubstantiate the alleged disabling im pairment(s). As summarized above, the Claimant has presen ted objective medical eviden ce establishing that she does have some phy sical limitations on her ability t o perform basic work activities. Accordingly, the Claimant has an impair ment, or combination thereof, that has more than a *de m inimis* effect on the Claim ant's bas ic work activities. Further, the impairments have las ted or are expected to la st for twelve mont hs; therefore, the Claimant is not disqualified from receipt of MA-P benefits under Step 2.

In the third step of the seque ntial analysis of a disability claim, the trier of fact must determine if the Claimant's impairment, or co mbination of impairments, is listed in Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR, Part 404.

Listing 4.00 Cardiov ascular System specific ally 4.04 ischemic h eart diseas e and 4.06 Symptomatic Congenital Heart Diseas e, 1. 04 Spinal Disorders and 9.00 Endocrine Disorders (Diabetes) were considered in light of the objective medical evide nce. Ultimately, it is found that the Claimant suffers from some medical conditions; however, the Claimant's impairments do not meet the int ent and severity requirement of a listing. The Claim ant cannot be found disabled, or not disabled, at Step 3. Accordingly, the Claimant's eligibility is considered under Step 4. 20 CFR 416.905(a).

The fourth step in analyzing a dis ability claim requires an assess ment of the claimant's residual f unctional capacity ("RFC") and past relevant em ployment. 20 CF R 416.920(a)(4)(iv). An individual is not disabled if he/she can perform past relevant work. *Id.*; 20 CFR 416.960(b)(3). Past relevant work is work that has been performed within the past 15 years that was a substantial gainful activity and that lasted long enough for the individual to lear n the position. 20 CF R 416.960(b)(1). Vocational fact ors of age, education, and work experience, and whet her the past relevant employment exists in significant numbers in the national economy are not considered. 20 CFR 416.960(b)(3). RFC is as sessed based on impairment(s) and any r elated symptoms, such as pain, which may cause physical and mental limitations that affect what can be done in a work setting. RFC is the most that can be done, despite the limitations.

To determine the physical demands (exertional requirements) of work in the national economy, jobs are classified as sedentary, light, medium, heavy, and very heavy. 2 0 CFR 416.967. Sedentary work involves lifting of no more than 10 pounds at a time and

occasionally lifting or carrying articles like docket files, ledgers, and small tools. 20 CFR 416.967(a). Although a sedentary j ob is defined as one which involves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. *Id.* Jobs are sedentary if walking and standing are r equired occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met.

Light work involves lifting no more than 20 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying objects weighing up to 10 pounds . 20 CFR 416.967(b). Even though we ight lifted may be very little, a job is i n this category when it requires a good deal of walking or standing, or when it involves sitting most of the time with some pushing and pulling of arm or leg controls. *Id.* To be considered capable of performing a full or wide range of light work, an individual must have the ability to do substantially all of these activities. *Id.* An individual capable of light work is also capable of sedentary work, unless there are additional limiting factors such as loss of fine dexterity or inability to sit for long periods of time. *Id.*

Medium work involves lifting no more than 50 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects w eighing up to 25 pounds. 20 CFR 416.967(c). An individua I capable of performing medium work is al so capable of light and sedentary work. *Id.* Heavy work involves lifting no m ore than 100 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 50 pounds. 20 CFR 416.967(d). An indiv idual capable of heavy work is also capable of medium, light, and sedentary work. *Id.*

Finally, very heavy work involves lifting objects weighing more than 100 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying objects weighing 50 pounds or more. 20 CFR 416.967(e). An individual capable of very heavy work is able to perform work under all categories. *Id*.

Limitations or restrictions which affect the ability to meet the demands of jobs other than strength demands (exertional r equirements, e.g., si tting, standing, walking, lifting, carrying, pushing, or pulling) are consider ed nonexertional. 20 CFR 416.969a(a). In considering whether an individual can perform past relevant work, a comparis on of the individual's residual functional capacity to the demands of past relevant work must be made. *Id.* If an individual can no longer do past relevant work, the same residua | functional capacity assessment along wit h an individual's age, education, and work experience is considered to determine whet her an individual can adjust to other work which exists in the national economy. Id. Examples of non-exer tional limitations or restrictions include difficulty function due to nervousness, anxious ness, or depression; difficulty maintaining attention or concentration; difficulty understanding or remembering detailed instructions; difficult y in seeing or hearing; difficulty tolerating some physical feature(s) of certain work settings (e.g., can't tolerate dust or fumes): or difficulty performing the manipulative or postural functions of some work such as reaching,

handling, stooping, climbing, crawling, or crouching. 20 CFR 41 6.969a(c)(1)(i) - (vi). If the impairment(s) and related symptoms, such as pain, only affect the ability to perform the non-exertional as pects of work-related acti vities, the rules in Appendix 2 do not direct factual conclus ions of dis abled or not disabled. 20 CFR 416.969a(c)(2). The determination of whether disability exists is based upon the principles in the appropriate sections of the regulations, giving considerati on to the rules for specific cas e situations in Appendix 2. *Id.*

The Claimant's prior work history consists of telephone ad sales solicit ation for a newspaper, which job she has performed for the past 7 years working 26 hours per week consisting of four, 6 hour work days. Speaks by telephone to her potential cust omers solic iting advertisements for her employer, a newspaper. Claimant's work giv en these parameters would be considered sedentary semi-skille d work. Prior to this employment, the Cla imant was a stay-at-home mom.

The Claimant testified that she can stand for 10 minutes and sit for a few hours, and that she has a problem with stairs and walks with ass istance, although does not use a cane. She can shower and dress herself and has some tremors in the left arm and the right leg cr amps because of her artery bei ng harvested. The Claim ant testified that while she is insulin dependent her diabetes is under control. While the claimant further testified that she had difficulty walking due to a torn Achilles tendon, she does not walk with a cane. The Claimant indicated that she does not cook, she does load t he dishwasher and can make the bed. The Claimant drives t he car and tak es herself to and from work. The Claimant grocery shops on her own and uses the grocery cart t o assist her with walk ing. The Claimant does have difficulty reaching due to chest pain around her incision from her coronary bypass surgery and the Claimant does take pain medication for the pain. The pain is worse lying down than standing.

A further discussion of substantial gainful activity (SGA) is necessary as the cl aimant is presently employed at a job she has performed for the last seven years. In general the primary consideration to determine SGA is earnings. However, t he fact that your earnings were not substantial will not necessarily show that you are not able to do SGA. The regulations define what is meant by substantial gainful activity as follows:

Substantial gainful activity is work that is both substantial and gainful:

(a) Substantial work activity. Substantial work activity is work activity that involves doing significant physical or mental activities. Your work may be substantial even if it is done on a part time basis, or if you do less, get paid less, or have less responsibility than when you worked before.

In this case the Claimant currently earns \$936 per month on a part-time basis, whic h is \$74 less that the \$1010 gross earnings thres hold which would automatically deem Claimant's employment as SGA.

(b) Gainful work activity. Gainful activity is work activity that you do for pay or profit. Work activity is gainful if it is the kind of work usually done for pay or profit whether or not a profit is realized. 20CFR 416.972. In general consideration must be given to the nature of your work, requiring that duties require u se of your experience, skills and responsibilities or contribute substantially to the operation of a business tends to show that you have the ability to work at the SGA le vel. Additionally, consideration is given to how well you perform, i.e. if you do your work satisfactorily. Based upon the Claimant's credible testimony it appears t hat she does contribute substantially to the operation of the business as advertisement sales are a source of r evenue for the employer and it appears that the Claimant, giv en her longevity of employm ent, performs satisfactorily without much supervision and works independ ently making cold calls to solicit advertisements. The Claimant did not ment ion any special circumstances which were applied to the Claimant's work due to any of her impairments.

The Claimant did mention t hat she experiences fatigue and chest pain associated with the healing of Claimant's hear t bypass sur gery which is no w 9 months po st operation during and after her work day is comple ted. Based upon the medical evidence e presented and the testimony of the Claimant, it is determined that the record did not support that such fatigue and pain would make Claimant unable to continue her job and continue to perform this past relevant work. It is also determined that Claimant's current employment is substantial gainful activity in spite of the fact that her earnings fall short of the \$1010 earnings from employment required to establis h substantial gainful activity on an earnings basis and even though Claimant's work is part time.

If the impairment or combination of impairment s does not limit physical or mental ability to do basic work activities, it is not a seve re impairment(s) and disability does not exist. 20 CFR 416.920. There wer e no medica I records independently eva luating the Claimant's physic al limitations which plac ed restrictions on the Claimant physic ally which would prohibit her from performing and continuing to perform her job. In consideration of the Claimant's testimony, medical records, and current limitations, it is found that the Claimant is able to return to past relevant work.

In this case, the Claimant is found not disabled for purposes of the MA-P program.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds the Claimant not disabled for purposes of the MA-P, Retro MA and SDA benefit programs.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED:

The Department's determination is AFFIRMED.

Lynn M. Ferris

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: December 19, 2012

Date Mailed: December 19, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, math ematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re Michigan Administrative Hearings consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

LMF/cl

