STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



 Reg. No:
 201271411

 Issue No:
 1003, 2006, 3008

 Case No:
 Hearing Date:

 Hearing Date:
 September 25, 2012

 Macomb County DHS
 Hearing Date:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Christopher S. Saunders

# HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on September 25, 2012. The claimant personally appeared and provided testimony.

#### **ISSUES**

- 1. Did the department properly deny the claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP) application due to a child support noncooperation?
- 2. Did the department properly reduce the claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits due to a child support noncooperation?
- 3. Did the department properly close the claimant's Medical Assistance (MA) benefits due to a child support noncooperation?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The claimant was a recipient of FAP and MA benefits.
- 2. On July 10, 2012, the claimant submitted an application for FIP benefits. (Department Hearing Summary).
- 3. On August 3, 2012, the department sent the claimant a notice of case action, stating that her FAP benefits would be reduced due to her being excluded from the group and that MA benefits for her were being closed.

- 4. The department also sent the claimant a notice of case action on August 14, 2012 stating that her application for FIP benefits was denied. (Department Exhibit C).
- 5. The department took all the above-mentioned negative action because the claimant was allegedly noncompliant with child support. (Department Hearing Summary).
- 6. On August 13, 2012, the claimant filed a request for hearing protesting the denial of her FIP application, the reduction of her FAP benefits, and the closure of her MA case.

# CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The regulations governing the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are found in the Michigan Administrative Code, MAC R 400.901-400.951. An opportunity for a hearing shall be granted to an applicant who requests a hearing because his claim for assistance is denied. MAC R 400.903(1).

Clients have the right to contest a department decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever it is believed that the decision is incorrect. BAM 600. The department will provide an administrative hearing to review the decision and determine the appropriateness. BAM 600.

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) (formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program) is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the FIP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3101-3131. The FIP program replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in

the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

Department policy states:

#### DEPARTMENT PHILIOSPHY

Families are strengthened when children's needs are met. Parents have a responsibility to meet their children's needs by providing support and/or cooperating with the department including the Office of Child Support (OCS), the Friend of the Court and the prosecuting attorney to establish paternity and/or obtain support from an absent parent. BEM 255, p. 1.

#### DEPARTMENT POLICY

# FIP, CDC Income Eligible, MA and FAP

Clients must comply with all requests for action or information needed to establish paternity and/or obtain child support on behalf of children for whom they receive assistance, unless a claim of good cause for not cooperating has been granted or is pending.

Absent parents are required to support their children. Support includes **all** the following:

- . Child support
- . Medical support
- Payment for medical care from any third party.

**Note:** For purposes of this item, a parent who does not live with the child due solely to the parent's active duty in a uniformed service of the U.S. is considered to be living in the child's home.

Failure to cooperate without good cause results in disqualification. Disqualification includes member removal, denial of program benefits, and/or case closure, depending on the program.

*Exception*: A pregnant woman who fails to cooperate may still be eligible for MA.

# GOOD CAUSE FOR NOT COOPERATING

#### FIP, CDC Income Eligible, MA and FAP

Exceptions to the cooperation requirement are allowed for all child support actions **except** failure to return court-ordered support payments received after the payment effective date. Grant good cause **only** if:

- requiring cooperation/support action is against the child's best interests, and
- there is a specific "good cause" reason.

If good cause exists, cooperation is excused as an eligibility requirement for the child involved. It can still be required for another child in the same family. BEM 255, pp. 1-2.

# Good Cause Reasons

# FIP, CDC Income Eligible, MA and FAP

There are two types of good cause:

- Cases in which establishing paternity/securing support would harm the child. Do **not** require cooperation/support action in any of the following circumstances.
  - .. The child was conceived due to incest or forcible rape.
  - .. Legal proceedings for the adoption of the child are pending before a court.
  - .. The client is currently receiving counseling from a public or licensed private social agency to decide if the child should be released for adoption, **and** the counseling has **not** gone on for more than three months.
- Cases in which there is danger of physical or emotional harm to the child or client. Physical or emotional harm may result if the client or child has been subject to or is in danger of:

- .. Physical acts that resulted in, or threatened to result in, physical injury.
- .. Sexual abuse.
- .. Sexual activity involving a dependent child.
- .. Being forced as the caretaker relative of a dependent child to engage in nonconsensual sexual acts or activities.
- .. Threats of, or attempts at, physical or sexual abuse.
- .. Mental abuse.
- .. Neglect or deprivation of medical care. BEM 255, pp. 2-3.

# COOPERATION

# FIP, CDC Income Eligible, MA and FAP

Cooperation is a condition of eligibility. The following persons in the eligible group are required to cooperate in establishing paternity and obtaining support, unless good cause has been granted or is pending.

- . Grantee and spouse.
- . Specified relative/person acting as a parent and spouse.
- Parent of the child for whom paternity and/or support action is required.

Cooperation is required in all phases of the process to establish paternity and obtain support and includes **all** of the following:

- . Contacting the SS when requested.
- Providing all known information about the absent parent.
- . Appearing at the office of the prosecuting attorney when requested.

. Taking any actions needed to establish paternity and obtain child support (e.g., testifying at hearings or obtaining blood tests).

#### SUPPORT DISQUALIFICATION

#### FIP, CDC Income Eligible, MA and FAP

You will be notified of a client's failure to cooperate by the SS or the child support noncooperation report. Start the support disqualification procedure upon receipt of this notice.

Do **not** impose the disqualification if any of the following occur during the negative action period:

- You are notified by OCS that the client has cooperated.
- . The case closes for another reason.
- . The noncooperative person leaves the group.
- . Support/paternity action is no longer a factor in the child's eligibility (e.g., the child leaves the group).
  - For disqualifications based on failure to return court-ordered support, the client cooperates with the requirement of returning court-ordered support payments or the support order is certified. BEM 255, p. 9.

Department policy indicates that clients are required to pursue any potential benefits for which they may be eligible. BEM 270. One of these benefits is child support. Department policy indicates that the head of the household and the parent of children must comply with all requests for action or information needed to establish paternity and/or obtain child support on behalf of children for whom they receive assistance, unless a claim of good cause has been granted. BEM 255.

Department policy indicates that there are situations in which child support will not be required to be pursued. Good cause can only be granted when requiring the cooperation/support action is against the child's best interest and there is a specific good cause reason. BEM 255. Good cause reasons exist if establishing paternity would harm the child or there is a danger of physical or emotional harm to the child or client. BEM 255.

Department policy indicates that cooperation with child support is a condition of eligibility for FAP. BEM 255. Failure to cooperate without good cause results in disqualification for the individual from the FAP program.

In the case at hand, the department alleged that the claimant was not cooperating with child support. At the hearing, there was no individual present from the office of child support to indicate what the nature of the noncompliance was. The department representative testified that the claimant is currently considered to be incompliance. The claimant testified that when she received the request for contact from the office of child support, that she made several attempts to contact the office but that she never was able to speak to an individual nor did she receive a return phone call. She testified that she eventually called her case worker at the department and had her case worker send an email to the office of child support to request someone form that office to return the phone calls of the claimant. The department representative confirmed that such email was sent to the office of child support. This Administrative Law Judge finds the claimant's testimony as to her numerous attempts to contact the office of child support credible. Accordingly, as the claimant made several attempts to contact the office of child support with no response from the office of child support, this Administrative Law Judge cannot find that the claimant was in noncooperation with child support. Accordingly, the department did not properly deny the claimant's FIP application, reduce the claimant's FAP benefits, or close the claimant's MA case.

# DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the department improperly denied the claimant's FIP application, reduced the claimant's FAP benefits, and closed the claimant's MA case on the basis of failure to cooperate with child support.

Accordingly, the department's determination is **REVERSED**.

It is HEREBY ORDERED that the department shall initiate a redetermination of the claimant's eligiblity for FIP benefits as of the date of application (July 10, 2012), initiate a redetermination of the claimant's FAP benefits as of the date of reduction, and initiate a redetermination of the claimant's aligibility for MA benefits as of the date of closure. If it is found that the claimant is otherwise eligible for benefits, the department shall issue benefits in accordance with policy and, if applicable, issue any past due benefits due and owing that the claimant is otherwise eligible to receive.

/s/\_

Christopher S. Saunders Administrative Law Judge for Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>September 28, 2012</u> Date Mailed: <u>September 28, 2012</u>

# 201271411/CSS

**NOTICE**: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

CSS/cr