

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 201271292  
Issue No.: 3000  
Case No.: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date: September 17, 2012  
County: Wayne DHS (18)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Christian Gardocki

**SETTLEMENT ORDER**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on September 17, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants included the above named claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included [REDACTED], Supervisor, and [REDACTED], Specialist.

**ISSUE**

The issue is whether DHS properly applied a child support disqualification to Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefit eligibility effective 9/2012.

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant was an ongoing FAP benefit recipient.
2. DHS determined that Claimant was uncooperative with obtaining child support for Claimant's daughter.
3. On 8/11/12, DHS applied a child support sanction causing a decrease in Claimant's FAP benefit eligibility, effective 9/2012.
4. On 8/14/12, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the reduction in FAP benefit eligibility.

5. DHS conceded that Claimant was cooperative with child support and no sanction should have occurred.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The law provides that disposition may be made of a contested case by stipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24.278(2). In the present case, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute a FAP benefit reduction. It was not disputed that the FAP benefit reduction was the result of a child support sanction. DHS conceded that the child support sanction was an error. Soon after commencement of the hearing, the parties testified that they had reached a settlement concerning the disputed action. Consequently, the Department proposed to redetermine Claimant's FAP benefit eligibility, effective 9/2012, subject to removal of the child support sanction. Claimant accepted the DHS proposal. As the agreement appears to comply with DHS regulations, the settlement among the parties shall be accepted.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING:

1. redetermine Claimant's FAP benefit eligibility, effective 9/2012, subject to the finding that Claimant was cooperative with obtaining child support; and
2. remove the relevant child support disqualification from Claimant's disqualification history.



Christian Gardocki  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Maura Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 9/21/2012

Date Mailed: 9/21/2012

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

CG / hw

cc:

