STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

Reg. No.: 2012-71229

IN	ıΤ		NA /	\T	ΓER	\circ	┏.
ш		пс	IVI	1 I		Ü	Г.

	Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date:	1000 December 19, 2012					
	County:	Oakland (03)					
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane							
SETTLEMENT ORDER							
This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on December 19, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Cla imant included the Claimant and Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included							
<u>ISSUE</u>							
Whether the Department properly:							
☐ denied Claimant's application for benefits ☐ closed Claimant's case for benefits ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits							
for:							
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?	Child Developme	Assistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)? y Services (SER)?					

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1.	On July 31 2012, the Department:						
	 ☐ denied Claimant's application for benefits ☐ closed Claimant's case for benefits ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits 						
	under the following program(s):						
2.	On November 16, 2012, Claimant f iled a request for hearing c oncerning the Department's action.						
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW							
Eligibi	tment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges lity Manual (BEM), the Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and the State Emergency Manual (ERM).						
Respo 42 US Agend throug	e Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal onsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, SC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence by) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 gh Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program we October 1, 1996.						
progra impler Regul Agend	e Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [form erly known as the Food Stamp (FS) am] is establis hed by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is mented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal ations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence by) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 001 through Rule 400.3015.						
☐ The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independenc e Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MC L 400.105.							
	e Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is istered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .						
for dis	e State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance cabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The D epartment of Human ces (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA						

Rule 400.3180.	et seq., and 20 00 AA	ACS, Rule 400.3151 through
☐ The Child Development and Car and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, 1990, and the Personal Responsibil The program is implemented by Tit and 99. The Depart ment provides 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5	the Chaild Care and E ity and Work Opportun le 45 of the Code of Fo servic es to adults and	Developm ent Block Grant of ity Reconciliation Act of 1996. ede ral Regulations, Parts 98 d children pursuant to MCL
☐ The State Emergency Relief (SI SER program is administer ed pursu 400.7001 through Rule 400.7049. Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).	iant to MCL 400.10, e	•

The law pr ovides that disposition may be made of a contest ed case by s tipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24.278(2).

In the present case, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the Department's action. Soon after commencement of the hearing, the parties testified that they had reached a settlement concerning the disputed action. Consequently, the Department agreed to do the following: reschedule the triage due to new information.

As a result of this settlement, Claimant no longer wish es to proceed with the hearing. As such, it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Judge to render a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING:

1. Initiate a new triage due to new information.

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 14, 2013

Date Mailed: February 14, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

MJB/cl

