STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No.: 2012-71089 Issue No.: 1010; 3002

Case No.:

Hearing Date: September 17, 2012

□ calculated

case

County: Wayne (76)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burke

denied Claimant's application

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9

and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Sept ember 17, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included and ISSUE Did the Departm ent properly \(\square \) deny Claiman t's application \(\square \) close Claimant's case and process Claimant's benefits for: Family Independence Program (FIP)? Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? State Disability Assistance (SDA)? Medical Assistance (MA)? Child Development and Care (CDC)? FINDINGS OF FACT The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact: 🔀 Family Independence Program (FIP). Adult Medical Assistance (AMP). Food Assistance Program (FAP). State Disability Assistance (SDA). Medical Assistance (MA). Child Development and Care (CDC). 2. On May 31, 2012, the Department

Claimant's FAP benefits, but did not process Claimant's FIP application.

closed Claimant's

In the present case, the Department stated that it could not properly process Claimant's May 31, 2012 FIP application because Claimant's former employer was not cooperating

2012-71089/SCB

in providing verification. The Department representative acknowledged at the hearing that the Department had the means to further process Claimant's FIP application and obtain the information it needed from the employer. In addition, since Claimant's FIP case would affect Claimant's FAP benefit samount, Claimant's FAP benefits should be recalculated based on the information obtained in the processing of Claimant's May 31, 2012 FIP application.

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Co nclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department
 □ properly denied Claimant's application □ properly closed Claimant's case □ properly c alculated Claimant's benefits □ claimant's denied Claimant's application □ improperly closed Claimant's case □ improperly processed and c alculated □ claimant's benefits
for:
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department ☐ did act properly. ☐ did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \boxtimes FIP \boxtimes FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \square AFFIRMED \boxtimes REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
oxtimes THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
 Initiate processing of Claimant's FIP application of May 31, 2012. Re-calculate Claimant's FAP benefits, effective May 31, 2012 and ongoing. Issue FIP and FAP supplements, in accordance with Department policy.

Susan C. Burke

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: September 25, 2012

Date Mailed: September 25, 2012

2012-71089/SCB

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the mailing date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SCB/cl

