STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE **DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

	 	_		\ —	-	_	_	_	
ın		_	IVI 4		TΕ	ĸ		_	
				•			•		

		L

Reg. No.: 2012-71075 Issue Nos.: 1000, 3002, 3003

Case No.:

September 26, 2012 Hearing Date:

County: Wayne (82-76)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Robert J. Chavez

HEARING DECISION

HEARING	<u>/LCIOIOIX</u>
This matter is before the undersigned Admini and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's reques hearing was held on September 26, 2012, behalf of Claimant included of Human Services (Department) included	t for a hearing. After due notice, in-person
<u>ISSL</u>	<u>JE</u>
Due to excess income, did the Department p ☐ close Claimant's case ☒ reduce Claimant	
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)?☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS (OF FACT
The Administrative Law Judge, based on	•

Т

1.	Claimant	applied for benefits for:	☑ received benefits for:
	⊠ Food As	ndependence Program (FIP). ssistance Program (FAP). Assistance (MA).	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA).☐ Child Development and Care (CDC).

2.	On August 21, 2012, the Department
3.	On August 21, 2012, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. closure. reduction.
4.	On August 14, 2012, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting
	the \Box denial of the application. \Box closure of the case. \boxtimes reduction of benefits.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	partment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal sponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.
pro imp Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.
Se Th	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the A program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
for as	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 0.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.
	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE d XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of

1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

With regard to the FIP case, the Department has admitted that Claimant has been underpaid a total of \$298 per month for the months of April, May, June, and July 2012. Therefore, the Department must pay these benefits to Claimant for these months.

With regard to the FAP case, Claimant alleges that her FAP budget was calculated incorrectly. Claimant argues that the Department improperly removed rent deductions from Claimant's FAP budget because Claimant was homeless. Claimant states that she still pays rent for some members of the group, who are living with a relative, while she herself is homeless.

The undersigned would normally point out that the question in this case—as to whether rent paid to a third party for some group members and not others—is irrelevant to the current case, because Claimant is not living with the group members in question and, therefore, shouldn't be considered a member of the FAP group. However, as the Department has failed to present an FAP budget with regards to Claimant, the undersigned is unable to reach that question.

Without an FAP budget, the Administrative Law Judge is unable to determine whether the FAP allotment was properly calculated and must, therefore, hold that the Department has failed to meet their burden of proof with regard to the FAP budget. As the Department has failed to show that the FAP budget was properly calculated, the undersigned must order the Department to recalculate the FAP budget in question for Claimant.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess income, the Department $\ \square$ properly $\ \square$ improperly
☐ denied Claimant's application☑ reduced Claimant's benefits☐ closed Claimant's case
for:
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's 🗌 AMP 🔀 FIP 🔀 FAP 🗌 MA 🗌 SDA 🗌 CDC decision
is AFFIRMED 🛛 REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
oxtimes THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF
THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Supplement Claimant her missed FIP benefits, in the amount of \$298 per month, for the months of April, May, June, and July 2012.
- 2. Recalculate Claimant's FAP budget.

Robert J. Chavez
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: October 11, 2012`

Date Mailed: October 11, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

2012-71075/RJC

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

RJC/pf

